Computer Science from the Metal Up

Assembly Language Programming

Richard Pawson

with Peter Higginson ©Richard Pawson, 2020. The moral right of the author has been asserted.



This book is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDervivatives 4.0 International License: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>.

The author is willing, in principle, to grant permission for distribution of derivative versions, on a case by case basis, and may be contacted as <u>rpawson@metalup.org</u> in relation to permissions, or to report errors found in the book.

'Metal Up' is a registered trademark, number UK00003361893.

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the help of the following:

Peter Higginson, who wrote the ARMlite simulator, to meet the requirements for this book, and as a platform suitable for use on pupils' AQA NEA Projects. Peter also provided many ideas and technical help to the author, in relation to both the text and code examples in the book, and has diligently reviewed both.

Ian Head of Head-e Design generously donated a lot of time to produce the custom image and overall design for the book cover.

Sophie Baker, Mark Berry, Martyn Colliver, and Paul Revel – teachers who provided useful feedback on a draft version. Responsibility for remaining errors lies with the author alone, however.

Computer Science from the Metal Up:

Assembly Language Programming

Richard Pawson with Peter Higginson

V1.0.0

Book I – Fundamentals of assembly language	1
Chapter 1. Introduction to assembly language and ARMlite	2
Addressing	4
Registers	9
Machine code is <i>fast</i>	
Why learn assembly language programming?	
Chapter 2: Countdown	
Multiply and Divide?	14
Bit-wise instructions	
Play the game	
Negative numbers	
Chapter 3: Matchsticks	
Working with memory addresses	
Labels	21
Simple input/output	22
Branching	
Optional exercises to improve/extend the game	
Chapter 4: Hangman	
Low-res pixel graphics	
Book II – Delving deeper	
Chapter 5: Indirect & Indexed addressing	
Implementing Bubble Sort using indexed addressing	
Implementing a binary search using indirect addressing	
Chapter 6: The System Stack, and Subroutines	
Subroutines	
A Multiply subroutine	50
Chapter 7: Interrupts	51
Pin interrupts	
Keyboard Interrupts	53
Clock interrupts	55
Click-pixel interrupts	56
Chapter 8: Snake	
Create a moving snake	
Control the frequency of updates	
Change direction with the W,A,S,D keys	61
Hitting an edge is 'Game Over'	63
The snake may not cross itself	64
Create an apple in a random position	65
Making the snake grow only when an apple is eaten	67
Implementing a circular queue	
Possible game enhancements	
Appendices	71
Appendix I: AQA vs. ARMlite	
Appendix II: Useful links	

Book I – Fundamentals of assembly language

Chapter 1. Introduction to assembly language and ARMlite

The program listed below is written in assembly language – it might look very unfamiliar to you! Assembly language is a 'low-level' programming language, each instruction (line of code in this case) performs a very simple operation, and it might take many such instructions to match the functionality of a single line of code in a 'high-level' language (such as Python, VB, or C#).

MOV R1, #.PixelScreen	BL countIfLive
MOV R2, #screen2	SUB R4, R3, #4
MOV R6, #0	BL countIfLive
MOV R9, #.black	SUB R4, R3, #260
MOV R10, #.white	BL countIfLive
MOV R3, #0	CMP R5, #4
<pre>loopWhite: STR R10, [R2+R3]</pre>	BLT .+3
ADD R3, R3, #4	STR R10, [R2+R3]
CMP R3, #12288	B continue
BLT loopWhite	CMP R5, #3
MOV R3, #260	BLT .+3
randLoop: LDR R0, .Random	STR R9, [R2+R3]
AND R0, R0, #1	B continue
CMP R0, #0	CMP R5, #2
BNE .+2	BLT .+2
STR R9, [R2+R3]	B continue
BL nextCell	STR R10, [R2+R3]
CMP R3, #12032	continue: BL nextCell
BLT randLoop	MOV R0, #12032
copyScreen2to1: MOV R3, #0	CMP R3, RØ
copyLoop: LDR R0, [R2+R3]	BLT nextGenLoop
STR R0, [R1+R3]	B copyScreen2to1
ADD R3, R3, #4	<pre>countIfLive: LDR R0, [R1+R4]</pre>
CMP R3, #12288	CMP R0, R10 //White
BLT copyLoop	BEQ .+2
ADD R6, R6, #1	ADD R5, R5, #1
MOV R3, #260	RET
nextGenLoop: MOV R5, #0	nextCell:
SUB R4, R3, #256	ADD R3, R3, #4
BL countIfLive	AND R0, R3, #255
SUB R4, R3, #252	CMP R0, #0
BL countIfLive	BEQ3
ADD R4, R3, #4	CMP R0, #252
BL countIfLive	BEQ5
ADD R4, R3, #260	RET
BL countIfLive	HALT
ADD R4, R3, #256	.ALIGN 1024
BL countIfLive	screen2: 0
ADD R4, R3, #252	

Each instruction in assembly language corresponds to an operation that can be executed directly by electronic circuits in the processor. Different processors therefore have different assembly languages, though there are many common features - the language shown here is for a 32-bit ARM processor.

A processor can't execute the assembly language directly: each line of code must be translated into a 'machine code' first – a process known as 'assembling' and the tool for performing the conversion is known as an assembler – but each assembly language instruction results in one 32-bit code.

Throughout this book we are going to be using an online program called ARMlite, which *simulates* a simple computer built around a cut-down version of a 32-bit ARM processor:

Program	Processor	Memory
Program	PC 0x0000000 LR 0x0000000 SP 0x0000000 R11 0x0000000 R11 0x0000000 R11 0x0000000 R2 0x0000000 R3 0x0000000 R4 0x0000000 R5 0x0000000 R4 0x00000000 R5 0x00000000 R5 0x00000000 R4 0x00000000 R5 0x00000000 R5 <t< th=""><th>Memory 000 000 000 00000000 000000000</th></t<>	Memory 000 000 000 00000000 000000000
Load Save Edit		ARMLite Simulator © Peter Higginson 2020 Documentation

You can see that the screen is divided into four main areas: **Program**, **Processor**, **Memory**, and **Input/Output**.

The **Memory** is made up of 32-bit words. In the view above, each word contains zero, but this is shown as 0×00000000 . The $0 \times$ is a standard prefix indicating that what follows is in hexadecimal (hex) format. Each hex digit corresponds to 4-bits – so there are eight hex digits.

Access the simulation via: <u>https://peterhigginson.co.uk/ARMlite/</u> preferably using Chrome. (Most modern browsers should work but IE11 does not).

Click on any visible memory word and type in **101** (followed by the Return key).

What value is displayed, and why?

On another memory word, enter 0x101

What value is displayed, and why?

On another memory word, enter **0b101**

What value is displayed, and why?

If you now *hover* (don't click) the mouse over any of the memory words where you have entered a value you will get a pop-up 'tooltip'. What does the tooltip tell you?

The drop-down selector shown here: Hex allows you to change the base in which data is *displayed*. Changing the base does not change the underlying data value.

Change to **Decimal (unsigned)**. Paste a partial screenshot showing all three of the memory words that you entered, in their new format.

When you the mouse over one of these words, what now appears in the tooltip?

Does changing the representation of the data in memory also change the representation of the rowand column-headers (the white digits on a blue background)?

Addressing

The memory is laid out in four columns, for visual convenience only. Each word of memory has a unique 'address' - a *five*-digit hex number. The first four digits of the address are shown by the row-header, and the full address is specified by appending the single hex digit shown in the column header. Thus, the address of the *top-left* word on this screen is 0×000000 , and the bottom-right is 0×001 fc.

Exercise 2

What is the address of the word shown highlighted here:

Memory				
000	0×0	0x4	0x8	Өхс
0x0000	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000
0x0001	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000
0x0002	0×00000000	0x00000000	<mark>0x000000000</mark>	0x00000000
0x0003	0x00000000	0x00000000	<mark>0x000000000</mark>	0x00000000
0x0004	0x00000000	0x00000000	0×00000000	0x00000000

If the address has five hex digits, and each digit is 4 bits, what is the largest possible address, as a hex number, and in decimal?

Why do the address columns go up in jumps of four (0×0 , 0×4 , 0×8 , $0 \times c$)? Each word of 32 bits is made up from four 8-bit 'bytes'. ARMlite, in common with most modern processors uses 'byte addressing' for memory. When storing or retrieving a word (which we'll learn how to do in Chapter 3) you specify only the address of the first of the four bytes making up that word.

What's in a word?

The size of a 'word' varies between machines. Modern computers usually have 32- or 64-bit words; older ones were 16-, 8-, or 4-bit. And before the emergence of the single-chip microprocessor, computers had custom-designed word sizes: 18,20,36,40,60...

However, since the advent of semiconductor memory chips, *memory* has been measured, and managed in 'bytes', where a byte is always 8-bits irrespective of the word-size of the machine that the memory will be used in.

ARMlite, like all modern computers is a 'stored program computer': memory is used both for storing the program instructions and data to be manipulated. To run an assembly language program, it is necessary both to translate the assembly language instructions into machine code, and to load those codes into memory. On old machines, these were two distinct steps; on ARMlite they are both performed in one.

Exercise 3

Access the simulator via https://peterhigginson.co.uk/ARMlite/

Click on the **Edit** button (below the **Program**) and then copy and paste the complete assembly language program listed at the beginning of the chapter, into this area. (You should be able to copy both columns on one go, but if this is not possible, ensure that the code from the second column is placed after the code from the first column.)

Then hit the **Submit** button. This should not give any errors (if it does you have pasted the code incorrectly). Before proceeding, use the **Save** button to save the program to a file on your local machine.

Submit did two things: first it 'assembled' (translated) the assembly language into machine code; then it loaded the machine code into memory.

You will also see that ARMlite has now added 'line numbers' to your program. These do not form part of the assembly language (which is also known as the 'source code'), but are there to help you navigate and discuss your code.

What is the highest line number?

If you hover the mouse over one of the lines of the source code (this is only after it has been submitted), you will see a pop-up tooltip that indicates the address in memory of the corresponding machine code instruction.

What hex address is given for line number 75 of code? Paste a screenshot highlighting the contents of this word in the memory area.

(The reason why there is no machine code corresponding to lines 76 and 77 of the source, is that those lines are not strictly processor instructions - they are instructions *to the assembler*, known as 'assembler directives'. We'll see more of them later, but this is *not* a very important point to understand.)

Hit **Edit** and try inserting:

- A couple of blank lines

- Additional spaces before an instruction, or just after a comma (but not between other characters)

- A comment on a line of its own, starting with // such as //My first program

- A comment after an instruction but on the same line

Submit the code again.

What has happened to:

- The blank lines
- Additional spaces
- The comments
- The line numbers
- The total number of instructions that end up as words in memory? (Why?)

Edit again and remove the comma from the first line of code. What happens when you Submit now?

Restore the program to its original condition, either by going back to **Edit**, or just **Load**ing it again, and **Submit**.

The program you have loaded is a simulation of a colony of simple organisms, being born, reproducing and, eventually dying. (Individual cells never move, but the patterns of cells being born and dying give the impression of movement, and many interesting dynamic patterns emerge). The code is a variant of a very famous program called Life (see panel).

Conway's Game of Life

The 'Game of Life', also known simple as 'Life' (it's not really a game, it's a simulation) was devised by British mathematician John Horton Conway in 1970. In the intervening 50 years there have been implementations of it written for almost every computer manufactured, real and virtual, now including ARMlite.

It simulates the birth, reproduction and death of single-celled, static, organisms living in a community. Each location on the grid has up to 8 immediate neighbours. (Strictly, Life should be played on an infinite board – the ARMlite screen has hard edges, and these do affect the behaviour.) If an organism has more than three live neighbours, it will die of 'overcrowding'. If it has less than one live neighbour, it will die of 'loneliness'. If an *empty* location has three live neighbours, a new organism will be born there.

Running on a fast machine, a simulation of Life produces patterns of extraordinary complexity. Starting with a random distribution of live organisms, the simulation will change dynamically for many 'generations' before settling to steady state consisting of static groups of live cells and some 'oscillators' - a group that cycles through a repeated pattern.




You may also observe 'gliders' – small groups of cells that appear to move (diagonally) across the screen – actually the cells don't move, but the pattern of births and deaths repeats itself moving one square diagonally each cycle. You can even observe (or specify as a starting pattern) one or more 'glider guns' that will regularly emit gliders, and other even more complex constructs. A glider gun is shown on the left.

Life shows a simple example of 'cellular automata', which is a branch of research into artificial life forms ('Alife'). The originator of this branch of mathematics was none other than John von Neumann, who also made significant contributions to many other branches of mathematics, computer science, weather forecasting, atomic weapons design, and economics! Von Neumann ('Johnny' to his friends) postulated the idea of an automaton that could both do useful work (as a computer) and reproduce itself from raw materials. It would be 50 years before anyone managed to implement an example of this; one shown on the right.

Picture credits and further reading:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conway%27s_Game_of_Life and https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Von_Neumann_universal_constructor



Run the program, using the **Run** button:

You'll see a spinning gearwheel appear near the run controls to indicate that the processor is active.

You will also observe a lot of activity in the 'graphics screen' (the lowest of the three panes under Input/Output). After a short while (a few seconds to a couple of minutes) the colony will stabilise.

At any point you can hit the **Stop** button and then **Run** again. Since the starting pattern of cells is randomised, the behaviour will be different each time you run.

Then hit the **Pause** button. As well as freezing the graphics screen and stopping the spinning gear wheel. You will also see some orange highlights appear. What do you think they signify?

You can continue execution by pressing play again. Do this *and then pause again*.

What does clicking on this control do



And this one?

What happens if you click on this button more than once in succession?

Finally, while paused, click line number **21** of the source code, which will paint a red background behind the line number. This is called 'setting a break point' and will cause processing to be paused when the breakpoint is breached.

Having set the breakpoint, continue running until the pause is observed (almost immediately!). Has the processor paused just *before*, or just *after* executing the line with the breakpoint?

From the breakpoint you will find that you can single-step, or continue running slowly or at full speed.

While paused you can remove a breakpoint by clicking on the line again.

Registers

Another thing that you might have noticed when paused, single stepping, or running slowly, is frequent changes to the values in the 'registers' – highlighted in the screenshot below. Each register is like a single 32-bit word of memory, but with these differences:


- Registers are much faster to access than main memory (which is still very fast, though).
- The values in registers may be manipulated directly by instructions. To manipulate a value held in memory, it must first be loaded into a register, then manipulated, then (if the new value needs to be preserved) stored back to memory to the same location or a different one.
- Registers have a name, rather than an address.
- ARMlite has thirteen 'general purpose' registers, named R0 to R12. These are typically used to hold the data items most frequently needed by the program. For a small program it is possible that *all* the data items needed can be held in these registers.
- There are also three 'special purpose' registers, named PC, LR and SP. These are typically accessed and manipulated by the processor. They may be accessed and even manipulated by program instructions directly, though there is a risk of interfering with the normal execution of the program if you are not careful.

PC	0x00000054	
LR	0x000000dc	
SP	0x00100000	
R12	0x00000000	
R11	0x00000000	
R10	0x00ffffff	
R9	0x00000000	
R8	0x00000000	
R7	0x00000000	
R6	0x00000080	
R5	0x00000000	
R4	0x00002df4	
R3	0x000009a0	
-R2	0x00000400	
R1 -	0xffffc000	
RØ	0x00ffffff	

In Chapter 2, we will be learning how to use the general-purpose registers.

Machine code is *fast*

When you ran the program in slow mode you doubtless observed that the program 'loops' many times over the same instructions. You may also have noticed that in slow mode, even if you speed it up as much as possible, *many* instructions are executed between each update to the graphics screen. You can see the total number of instructions executed since the program started in the **Count** field, shown highlighted on the right.



Optional exercise

Using a stopwatch, run the Life program for exactly 10 seconds before pausing, and then take a note of the Count value. Divide this by 10 for an approximate measure of ARMlite's speed, in instructions per second, when *running on your browser and computer*.

Depending on the physical computer you are using, ARMlite can execute *several million instructions per second*. And this is actually very *slow* compared to real processing speeds, because ARMlite is a simulation. Under the covers, ARMlite is a JavaScript program that interprets each machine code instruction from the program you are 'running' into JavaScript function calls. Your browser, in turn must translate the JavaScript into the machine code for the processor on your computer (which might be an ARM, or might be an Intel processor, with a different instruction set).

If you were to run the same machine code *directly* on an ARM processor, and the graphics screen was just an array of LEDs, say, then the program would run at *billions* of Instructions per second – and the pattern of organisms would stabilise almost immediately.

Why learn assembly language programming?

In the early days of computing, assembly language offered a considerable advance on writing machine code in hex, or binary (or, commonly, 'octal'). But why should you learn it *today*, when there are a multitude of high-level programming languages? (Apart from the fact that you need to learn some assembly language to pass your exams!)

If you were to pursue a career in computing, it is possible that you might end up having to write some assembly language, or a low-level language quite like assembly language, at some point. But it is also possible that you could get through an entire career in computing without ever seeing assembly language again! So that's not really a strong enough argument for learning it now.

The strongest argument for learning assembly language is that it will give you a better understanding of what is going on at the processor level when your high-level language programs are executing. For example, if you continue as far as Chapter 5 you should understand why, in a high-level language, any element of an array may be accessed in O(1) time instead of O(n). To use an analogy, it is not necessary to know how an internal combustion engine works to drive a car, but *most* racing drivers have a pretty good understanding of the mechanics of their car, in order to gain the best performance.

The final reason, however, is that learning assembly language can be very enjoyable. One thing that might have struck you already about the Life program, is that this *tiny* program (71 instructions and each one performing only a very simple operation), produces quite complex, and interesting behaviour. Many examples of assembly language that you see in textbooks cover only trivial, and, let's face it *boring*, examples, such as sorting three numbers into order. But it is perfectly feasible to write interesting programs in assembly language, and if you follow this book through to the end, you'll be writing a series of games, starting simple, but ending up satisfyingly complex. You'll also be able to read and understand exactly how the Life program is working.

Chapter 2: Countdown

In subsequent chapters of this book we will be writing assembly language code to implement a series of games. In this first chapter, however, we will instead be learning how to *play* a game - one that involves writing assembly language. The game is a variant of the 'Countdown Numbers Game', which you might have encountered previously, either on television, or in your Maths classroom (if not, see the panel).

Your task will be broadly similar: given a set of starting numbers, and a defined set of operations, you must write a short program in assembly language that results in the target number (or as close as you can get). Don't worry: we are going to get plenty of practice with how to write assembly language, and the specific operations, before you have to play the game. And you *won't* be asked to try to come up with a solution in 30 seconds!

We'll start by using just addition and subtraction. Our initial numbers are 100,25,8,7,3,1 and our target is 84. This is an easy challenge from a mathematical perspective: 1+8+100-25. Here's one way to code that expression in assembly language:

MOV R0,#1 ADD R1,R0,#8 ADD R2,R1,#100 SUB R3,R2,#25 HALT

This program consists of a sequence of five instructions, one per line. Each instruction consists of an 'operation', shown here in 'mnemonic' form (usually an abbreviation of the description of the instruction). You have probably guessed that ADD and SUB are the operations to add and subtract values, and that HALT brings the execution of the program to a halt; MOV is the operation to move a value (which really means 'copy and move').

Each operation, except HALT is followed by up to three 'operands', specifying what the operation is applied to in each case. Where there is more than one operand, they must be separated by commas.

The last operand in the first four of the instructions above, consists of one of our initial numbers: 1,8,100 and 25, in each case preceded by the # symbol (pronounced 'hash' - not 'hashtag', incidentally). In assembly language programming, these are known as 'immediate' values - meaning that they are written directly in the program code.

The other operands – R0,R1,R2 and R3 – specify registers, which are used to hold initial values, intermediate calculations, and the result. Registers are the fastest form of memory, and their contents can be manipulated *directly*. In this chapter, all the calculations can be handled using only the thirteen 'general purpose' registers (R0 to R12). Later you will learn how to handle much larger amounts of data, held in main memory. However, since, on ARMlite, most operations cannot be applied directly to values held in main memory, you will find that much of assembly language programming consists of 'loading' values from memory into registers, manipulating them within registers, and, if appropriate, storing new or modified values back into memory. You will also find that input/output is handled much the same way.

The Countdown numbers game

Countdown is a long-running British television game show, involving word and number tasks. (See <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Countdown (game_show)</u> for more background).


In the original version of the *numbers* part of the game, players are given a randomly selected set of six 'initial' numbers (in the range 1 to 100), and then a target number (in the range 1-999). Working against the clock, they must use the initial numbers and the four basic mathematical operations (add, subtract, multiply, divide) in order to produce the target number - *or get as close as possible*. (Since the target is chosen at random, it is not necessarily always possible to match it exactly). You may use brackets, or the calculation may be evaluated as a series of steps. Fractional numbers are not allowed - and nor are calculators!

For example, given the initial numbers: **25,50,75,100,3,6** and the target number: **952** it is straightforward to get to **953** (off by just one) as follows:

6 + 3 = 9 x 100 = 900 + 50 = 950 + 75/25 = 953

All the original numbers were used in this case. (Players are not required to use *all* the initial numbers, but each may be used once only). Surprisingly, it *is* possible to get the target exactly, as follows:

Even more surprisingly, the second solution was devised by a contestant on the television show *within the time limit of 30 seconds*! You can watch him do it, and the astonished reaction of the presenters here: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pfa3MHLLSWI</u>



Set ARMlite to display data in format. This will make things easier, *initially*, because our game will be working primarily with decimal numbers.

Select **Edit** so that you can click within the program area, then copy and paste the five-line program below into that **Program** area:

MOV R0,#1 ADD R1,R0,#8 ADD R2,R1,#100 SUB R3,R2,#25 HALT

Submit, then **Run** the program (with the 'play' button). When the program halts (almost immediately) paste a screen snippet showing just the value of R3.

Note: When the program has halted, if you want to run it again you must click the **Stop** button before **Play**. What happens if, when halted, you press play *without first pressing stop*.

We'll now look at the instructions in detail:

Instruction	Interpretation
MOV R0,#1	Move (copy) the immediate value 1 into register R0
ADD R1,R0,#8	Add 8 to the value currently in R0 and put the result in R1 Note that R1, here, is called the 'destination register' for this instruction.
ADD R2,R1,#100	Add 100 to the value currently in R1 and put the result in R2
SUB R3,R2,#25	Subtract 25 from the value currently in R2 and put the result in R3
HALT	(Temporarily) halt the execution of the program.

Exercise 7

If necessary, **Stop** the program and this time use the **Single step** button to execute it one instruction at a time. Notice that with each step the value in *one* register has changed.

Notice also that the (orange) highlighter moves across the assembly-language instructions and, simultaneously, across the corresponding 'machine code' instructions held in memory.

Looking carefully at the changing register values, and at the code highlighter, does the orange highlighter indicate the instruction *about to be executed*, or the one *that has just been executed*?

In our example, we used a different register to record each intermediate step of the calculation. However, this is not essential: we could do the whole of this simple calculation using a single register, but changing its contents with each step, as shown below: MOV R0,#1 ADD R0,R0,#8 ADD R0,R0,#100 SUB R0,R0,#25 HALT

In the example code so far, the final operand for the MOV, ADD and SUB operations has always been an immediate value (prefixed by #). However, this operand may alternatively be specified as another register, as shown in the examples below:

Instruction	Interpretation
ADD R3,R2,R1	Add the values from R2 and R1, and place the result in R3
ADD R4,#1,#2	This is invalid syntax - only the <i>last</i> operand may be an immediate value

Multiply and Divide?

The Countdown numbers game isn't going to be very challenging, or much fun, if we are restricted to addition and subtraction operations. ARMlite does not currently have a way perform multiplication or division using a single instruction, even on whole numbers. You would need to write your own routines for that (there is an example routine for integer multiplication in Chapter 6).

However, there are several other single-instruction operations that we can apply to the Countdown game, and these will make the game more specific to the field of Computer Science, and more challenging as well - because they will involve thinking simultaneously in decimal and binary (or hex).

Hardware multipliers

Many of the *earliest* digital electronic computers, such as the ENIAC (pictured), *did* have hardware multipliers, and some had hardware dividers. In part this was because most early computers were applied principally to complex mathematical calculations.



With the transition to single chip 'microprocessors', multiplication and division were moved from hardware

to software, in the form of re-usable subroutines constructed from add, subtract and other bit-level operations.

However, the size and power of microprocessors has since grown dramatically, so most modern processors do have dedicated hardware circuits to perform multiplication and/or division, on integers or floating-point numbers. In these cases, there will usually be a single assembly-language instruction to specify the multiply/divide operation. The circuitry may be integrated into the processor chip, or provided on a separate 'maths co-processor'

Picture credit and further reading: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ENIAC</u>

Bit-wise instructions

Instruction	Example	Description
AND	AND R2,R1,#4	Performs a bit-wise logical AND on the two input values, storing the result in the equivalent bit of the destination register.
ORR	ORR R1,R3,R5	As above but using a logical OR
EOR	EOR R1,R1,#15	As above but using a logical 'Exclusive OR'
LSL	LSL R1,R1,#3	'Logical Shift Left'. Shifts each bit of the input value to the left, by the number of places specified in the third operand, losing the left- most bits, and adding zeros on the right.
LSR	LSR R1,R1,R2	'Logical Shift Right'. Shifts each bit of the input value to the <i>right</i> , by the number of places specified in the third operand, losing the right-most bits, and adding zeros on the left.

The table below lists five new instructions that manipulate values in registers.

These are all described as 'bit-wise' operations, because they manipulate individual bits in the operands. They are best understood by viewing the values in binary format (or in hex if you are experienced in mentally transforming hex to binary).

Write your own simple program, that starts with a **MOV** (as in the previous example) followed by five instructions, using each of the five new instructions listed above, once only, but in any order you like – plus a **HALT** at the end, and with whatever immediate values you like.

Note: Keep all your immediate values less than **100** (decimal). Also, when using **LSL**, don't shift more than, say **#8** places. Using very large numbers, or shifting too many places to the left, runs the risk that you will start seeing negative results, which will be confusing at this stage. (We'll be covering negative numbers in the final part of this chapter.)

You may use a different destination register for each instruction, or you may choose to use only R0, for both source and destination registers in each case - both options will work.

Paste in your complete program, and then step through the program, completing the table below. You can do this either by using the tooltip, or by switching the display format between **Decimal (unsigned)** and **Binary**. *It is not necessary to include the leading zeros, or the* **(upper bound)** *prefix, in your answers, although you may.*

Describe in words, what is the effect *on a decimal number* of doing a logical shift left (LSL) by one bit ? And by two bits? Similarly, for a logical shift right (LSR)?

Your complete instruction	Decimal value of the destination register after executing this instruction	Binary value of the destination register after executing this instruction
MOV R0,		
HALT	-	_

Play the game

You are ready to start playing the game. Remember these rules:

- You do not have to use *all* the initial numbers, but each may be used once only as an immediate value.
- You may use as many registers as you wish, but you may use each register *only once as the destination register*, and then *only once as a source register* (i.e. as an argument).
- You may use only the operations introduced so far in this chapter.
- The result must be visible in a register, and must be the correct answer *as a decimal number*. For example, the binary answer 0b...101 (5 in decimal) would *not* count as decimal 101!

Hint: You may find it helpful to write the decimal result going into the destination register for each instruction in a comment

Exercise 9

Your six initial numbers are: 12,11,7,5,3,2 and your target number is: 79

Paste a screenshot showing your program, and with the result in a register.

Exercise 10

Your six initial numbers are: 99,77,33,31,14,12 and your target number is: 32

Paste a screenshot showing your program, and with the result in a register.

Exercise 11

Your six initial numbers are: 30,13,7,5,2,1 and your target number is: 390

Paste a screenshot showing your program, and with the result in a register.

Negative numbers

Exercise 12		
Set ARMlite to display data in Decimal (signed) T format.		
Run the following simple program and capture the result shown in R1.		
MOV R0, #9999 LSL R1, R0, #18 HALT		

Why is the result shown as a negative decimal number, and with no *obvious* relationship (in decimal) to 9999?

If you use the tooltip, you will see that the binary representations of R0 and R1 are:

R1 - 0b<mark>10011100001111</mark>000000000000000000

As indicated by the added highlights above, the processor has shifted the binary value in R0 left by 18 bits to produce the value in R1, as expected.

In setting the display format to **Decimal (signed)** we are asking ARMlite to display all word values as a *signed* decimal number (i.e. positive or negative), by interpreting their *binary* representation as 32-bit two's complement.

Any word where the left-most or 'most significant' bit is **1**, will be a negative number, *if interpreted* as 32-bit two's complement format. But this bit is not simply representing a plus or minus bit (as would be the case for a 'sign and magnitude' representation). In 32-bit two's complement format the left-most bit is given the *negative* value: -2^{31} or -2147483648. All the other bits to the right of it are given positive values: $+2^{30}$, $+2^{29}$... $+2^{1}$.

Exercise 13

Switch ARMlite to display in **Binary** format.

You can't edit register values directly, but you can edit memory words. Click on the top-left memory word (address 0x00000) and type in the following values, which will be interpreted as decimal and translated into the 32-bit two's complement format, which you can then copy back into your answers.

What is the binary representation of each of these *signed* decimal numbers:

1 -1 2 -2

Try to spot the pattern, if you can, before reading on.

The pattern is as follows. To get the negative version of a number:

- invert (or 'flip') each of the bits
- then add 1 to the end.

We can simulate this, by introducing a new operation MVN, which stands for 'Move NOT'. It works like MOV, but each of the bits in the second operand (whether that's an immediate value or the value

in a specified register) has a logical NOT operation applied to it before going into the destination register.

In following code, then, the second and third instructions implement the transformation specified above:



Verify for yourself that R2 ends up containing -27, if presented as a signed decimal.

You *could* reverse this transformation by subtracting one and then inverting all the bits. Surprisingly, however, you could instead simply apply the *original* transformation *again*:

MOV R0, #27 MVN R1,R0 ADD R2,R1,#1 MVN R3,R2 ADD R4,R3,#1 HALT

Verify for yourself that by applying the same transformation twice, you get back (in R4) to the original value of 27.

At first sight, this two-step transformation might seem rather arbitrary. But the extraordinary thing about it is that it works. In means that the processor can add and subtract numbers without having to care whether the values are positive or negative to begin with.

```
Exercise 14

Run this program:

MOV R0, #27

MOV R1, #-5

ADD R2, R0, R1

HALT

And verify for yourself that adding -5 to 27 produces the same result as subtracting 5 from 27.

What happens if you add -49 to 27?
```

Chapter 3: Matchsticks

In this chapter we will be writing a very simple game called Matchsticks. The game starts with a pile of 15 matchsticks (although it could be any number). Players take it in turns to remove either 1,2, or 3 matchsticks from the remaining pile. A player wins the game by forcing the opponent to take the last matchstick. Our implementation will pit a single human player against the computer.

To implement the game, we will need to learn how to implement iteration (looping), and selection (branching), in assembly language. We will also need to learn some patterns for writing text to the console, and reading inputs from the user during the game. In order to do the latter, we first need to understand the loading and saving of values from/to memory locations using the LDR – 'LoaD Register (from memory)', and STR – 'STore Register (to memory)', instructions.

Working with memory addresses

Exercise 15
Run ARMlite in the default Hex and the default and ensure that the Program and Memory are clear. (The Clear button, bottom right of the simulator, will do that, if necessary.)
Enter and Submit the following code:
MOV R0, #255 STR R0, 68 HALT LDR R1, 72 HALT
You will see that the program code has been translated into machine code and loaded into the first five words of main memory.
Run the program and notice that when it reaches the first HALT, the value of one of the other words in main memory will have changed. Paste a screenshot of the memory only highlighting that changed memory location.
Explain why the value is shown is <i>what</i> it is, and <i>where</i> it is.
Now click on the memory location immediately to the right of the one that was changed, and type in another decimal value). <i>Continue</i> running (by hitting the Play icon) and show, with a partial screenshot, that the value you entered has been copied ('loaded') into R1 .
Why is the second operand for the LDR instruction 72, and not 69? What happens if you change it to 69 and attempt to run again?
It is very important to understand that with the ARMlite instruction set:

- The MOV instruction cannot work with memory addresses the second operand must be a register or an immediate value. (Similarly, for MVN).
- The LDR instruction cannot be used to load an immediate value into a register the second operand must specify a memory address.

By contrast, in some real processors, MOV (or its equivalent) can deal with registers, immediate values, or memory addresses. One advantage of the enforced separation of roles, as on ARMlite, is that it helps to remind the programmer that operations involving memory access are slower than those that work only with registers and/or immediate values.

RISC vs. CISC

As the early computers evolved, processors typically acquired larger instruction sets, to make it easier for programmers to express algorithms and hence to improve their productivity.

However, by the 1980s it was recognised that since almost all programming was now being done in high level languages, and automatically translated into machine code, the case for making machine assembly language easy for human programmers to read or write was much weaker. By reducing the set of instructions, processors could be made more performant. The resulting change in processor design became known as the shift from CISC (Complex Instruction Set Computers) to RISC (Reduced Instruction Set Computers). Most modern processors are now considered to be RISC, although there is no precise definition of the distinction.

Back in the days of CISC, many instructions could deal directly with memory locations. With RISC the more common pattern is for most instructions to deal only with data in a small set of registers, with just a few specialised instructions for loading and storing values in main memory.

Labels

The following short program defines two labels for memory addresses, **xCoordinate** and **yCoordinate**, and initialises those memory addresses with the values **3** and **4** respectively. These label *definitions* are located *after* all the program instructions - this is the recommended practice. A label definition must have a colon immediately after it – as shown highlighted, below. The program instructions use, or 'reference', these labels, but a label *reference* does not have a colon.

```
LDR R0, xCoordinate
ADD R0, R0, #6
STR R0, xCoordinate
LDR R0, yCoordinate
ADD R0, R0, #2
STR R0, yCoordinate
HALT
xCoordinate: 3
yCoordinate: 4
```

The programmer does not know, or in many cases even care, where exactly the values of , **xCoordinate** and **yCoordinate**, are located - because they can always be referenced by the label.

With ARMlite in default (hex) mode, enter and **Submit** the code above.

Before running it, hover the mouse over the label *definitions* (in the last two lines) of the code. The pop-up tooltip will show you the memory address (in hex) that the label refers to in memory. What are the addresses for **xCoordinate** and **yCoordinate**?

Paste two partial screenshots of the Memory area of ARMlite, one taken before the program is run, and one after, in both cases highlighting the two memory words for **xCoordinate** and **yCoordinate**.

This example also reveals why we need the HALT instruction. If you were to remove the HALT then ARMlite would attempt to execute the next word (which holds the data value for xCoordinate) as an instruction. For the values used in our example, this will fail - giving a 'bad instruction' error. But on a real ARM processor, the data values might well correspond to real instructions and this would result in some unwanted, or unpredictable, behaviour.

Self-modifying programs

When the idea of the 'stored program' computer (as we now call it) was proposed, towards the end of WWII, *one* of the motivations was that it would be possible for programs to deliberately create, or modify, data values (to memory locations) that could then be executed as program instructions – in other words what we now call 'self-modifying code'. After the war, Alan Turing foresaw this as a possible way to achieve what we would today call 'machine learning' or 'artificial intelligence'. However, most of the early uses of self-modifying code were more mundane – including the ability to modify, dynamically, the memory address used by a specific instruction. The latter requirement was later made redundant by the introduction of 'Indirect' addressing, which we will cover in Chapter 5.

It is also worth noting that an assembler, or even a simple 'loader' program, that can read instructions from external storage into memory, both require ability to write program instructions into memory. Later, the same would apply to compilers.

Other early computer pioneers, such as Howard Aiken, who designed the machine that became known as the Harvard Mark I, were strongly opposed to the idea of programs creating or modifying code. Today, most modern processors deliberately prevent self-modifying code because of the risks of accidentally, or, in the case of 'malware', deliberately corrupting the system.

Simple input/output

Part of the ARMlite screen is labelled Input/Output. The topmost field within this area is the 'console' - which may be used for sending text to the user; the second field is to allow the user to input data when the program requests it.

ARMlite makes use of **STR** and **LDR**, together with labels, to manage interaction with these fields on the screen. This whole concept is known as 'memory-mapped I/O'.

We'll introduce these ideas by making a start on writing the Matchsticks game. We'll be taking an 'iterative' approach to development: writing just a little bit more functionality each iteration. Here's Iteration 1:

```
//R0 - remaining matchsticks
//R1 - used for writing messages
//R2 - number to remove
MOV R0, #15
STR R0, .WriteUnsignedNum
MOV R1, #msg1
STR R1, .WriteString
MOV R1, #msg2
STR R1, .WriteString
LDR R2, .InputNum
SUB R0, R0, R2
HALT
msg1: .ASCIZ "remaining\n"
msg2: .ASCIZ "How many do you want to remove (1-3)?\n"
```

Note the following:

- The program starts with comments (rendered in green, above) which define, where possible, the usages of the registers within the code. This is a recommended practice.
- msg1, and msg2 (short for 'message') are user defined labels for memory locations, as we used before, but instead of defining one or more words, each defines an ASCII string. .ASCIZ is another 'assembler directive' meaning 'ASCII, terminated by a Zero'. The zero byte is added onto the string, so that ARMlite knows where the string ends. Each character will be stored as a single byte, so four to a word.
- The instruction MOV R1, #msg1 does <u>not</u> load the contents of msg1 into R1. Loading data from memory would require an LDR instruction, but it would not be possible in this case because the contents of msg1 would not fit into a single register. Instead, MOV R1, #msg1 moves the *immediate value* of the *label* msg1 into R1, in other words the address in memory where the contents of msg1 starts.
- .WriteSignedNum is a like label, but the dot in front of it indicates that it is a label recognised by the ARMlite assembler rather than a user-defined label such as msg1. The assembler translates this label into real memory addresses to be used at run time, though the actual memory locations used for input/output are deliberately outside the range that you can view in the Memory area of the simulation. At run-time, when a value is written to the memory location corresponding to .WriteSignedNum, ARMlite knows that this needs to be written to the console, translated into a signed decimal representation
- .WriteString is another ARMlite system label, that writes a whole string instead of a single character. R0 cannot hold the string, because no more than four ASCII characters could fit in a register, so instead, R0 holds the address in memory where ARMlite may find start of the string may be found (the end being defined by the zero byte).
- Each use of .WriteString is therefore preceded by an instruction specifying the starting address of the required string in a register, for example: MOV R0, #msg2. This may be articulated as 'Move into R0, an *immediate* value being the *address* that msg2 will be translated into by the assembler.'
- LDR R2, .InputNum is another example of ARMlite's memory-mapped I/O. When executed this instruction will request the user to enter a number into the input field, and this will then be loaded into R2, as if it were being loaded directly from a memory address.
- \n is called an 'escape character'. When output to the console, this will result in a new line. (This same syntax is recognised in many high-level languages when used within strings).

Run the program above and run it. When requested for input, enter 1, 2 or 3. When the program halts, capture a partial screenshot showing the console, and showing the value in R0 which should be the number of matches remaining (shown in hex).

Branching

For the moment we will just imagine that there is only one player (not a very interesting game!). We want the program to loop around, displaying the number of matchsticks remaining. In ARMlite assembly language programming, the simplest way to implement a loop is with the **B** instruction which stands for 'Branch' followed by details of where we want to branch back (or forward) to. The clearest way to specify the branch destination is with a user-defined label, for example, **loop:** as shown below:

```
//R0 - remaining matchsticks
//R1 - used for writing messages
//R2 - number to remove
MOV R0, #15
loop: STR R0, .WriteUnsignedNum
MOV R1, #msg1
STR R1, .WriteString
MOV R1, #msg2
STR R1, .WriteString
LDR R2, .InputNum
SUB R0, R0, R2
B loop
HALT
msg1: .ASCIZ "remaining\n"
msg2: .ASCIZ "How many do you want to remove (1-3)?\n"
```

Note also that specifying the location to branch to as a label means that we don't have to worry about changing the address as we insert or delete instructions.

Exercise 18

Make the changes shown above and run the program to check for yourself what it now does.

Why has the **loop**: definition been placed on the *second* instruction and not on the first? (If you are not sure, try changing it and running the program again).

Even as a single-player version of the game, can you identify two serious shortcomings of the functionality?

Several of the current shortcomings require some sort of 'selection' functionality – also known, in the context of assembly language programming, as 'conditional branching'. These operate like the **B** instruction, but the branch is made only when certain conditions are met. There are four versions of the conditional branch available to us at this stage:

- BEQ 'Branch if EQual'
- BGT 'Branch if Greater Than'
- BLT 'Branch if Less Than'
- BNE 'Branch if Not Equal'

'Branch if *what* is equal?' you might be saying. These conditional branch instructions are designed to follow a CMP instruction that compares two values, for example:

CMP R0, R1 compares the values in two registersCMP R3, #16 compares the value in a register to an immediate value

CMP works somewhat like SUB – it subtracts the second operand from the first – but it does not assign the result to a destination register, the result is immediately thrown away. The only memory it keeps of the *result* is held in the status flags, which are displayed on ARMlite (highlighted, right).



The N bit indicates that the result of the compare was Negative, and Z that it was Zero.

(The C and V bits stand for Carry and oVerflow. Broadly speaking, they are used to signal when the result of an operation is not correct, because the correct result would not fit in 32-bits. We will not need them for now.)

The highlighted change below introduces a new label, **input**: and a compare, followed immediately by a conditional branch back to input. The effect is that if the player enters a value of greater than 3 it will be ignored, and the player will simply be asked to enter a number again:

```
//R0 - remaining matchsticks
//R1 - used for writing messages
//R2 - number to remove
  MOV R0, #15
loop: STR R0, .WriteUnsignedNum
  MOV R1, #msg1
  STR R1, .WriteString
  MOV R1, #msg2
  STR R1, .WriteString
input: LDR R2, .InputNum
  CMP R2, #3
  BGT input
  SUB RØ, RØ, R2
  B loop
  HALT
msg1: .ASCIZ "remaining\n"
msg2: .ASCIZ "How many do you want to remove (1-3)?\n"
```

Exercise 19

Make the changes shown above and test the program.

Now, with reference to the four possible conditional branch instructions listed above, add further instructions to enforce the rule that the number cannot be less than 1.

Test your program.

Try entering a negative number, does the code prevent this?

Finally, play the game until there are just 1 or 2 or fewer matches remaining. What happens if the player then attempts to remove more matches than remain? Can you figure out a way to prevent this?

Paste a screenshot showing the final version of the code, highlighting the new instructions that you added.

We now need to introduce the automated (computer) player. To begin with, we'll get the computer to take 1, 2, or 3 matchsticks, selected at random, but not more than the remaining number. We could write our own pseudo-random number generator, but ARMlite offers a ready-made way to load a random number from a random number generator. In the following snippet of code:

<pre>select: LDR R2,</pre>	. Random instructs ARMlite to load a random 32-bit pattern into R2
AND R2, R2, #3	removes all except the least significant 2 bits (i.e. reduces range to 0-3)
CMP R2, #0	if the choice is zero
BEQ select	choose again
CMP R2, R0	if the choice is greater than remaining matchsticks
BGT select	choose again
BEQ select	or if the choice would mean removing <i>all</i> the matchsticks. choose again

Note that at the end of the code we have a CMP instruction followed by two, different, conditional branch instructions. This works because these conditional-branch instructions always refer to the result of the most recent comparison - the latter does not have to be the instruction immediately before the branch.

We're now ready to have a go at the whole program:

//R0 - remaining matchsticks //R1 - used for writing messages //R2 - number to remove MOV R0, #15 loop: STR R0, .WriteUnsignedNum //Print remaining matchsticks MOV R1, #msg1 STR R1, .WriteString //Computer's turn select: LDR R2, .Random AND R2, R2, #3 CMP R2, #0 **BEQ select** CMP R2, R0 **BGT select BEQ select** cont: STR R2, .WriteSignedNum MOV R1, #msg4 STR R1, .WriteString SUB RØ, RØ, R2 //Print remaining matchsticks STR R0, .WriteUnsignedNum MOV R1, #msg1 STR R1, .WriteString //Check for computer win CMP R0, #1 **BEQ computerWins** //Player's turn MOV R1, #msg2 STR R1, .WriteString input: LDR R2, .InputNum CMP R2, #3 **BGT input** CMP R2, #1 **BLT input** CMP R2, R0 **BGT** input SUB RØ, RØ, R2 CMP R0, #1 **BEQ playerWins** b loop playerWins: MOV R1,#msg3 STR R1, .WriteString HALT computerWins: MOV R1,#msg5 STR R1, .WriteString HALT msg1: .ASCIZ "remaining\n" msg2: .ASCIZ "How many do you want to remove (1-3)?\n" msg3: .ASCIZ "You win!\n" msg4: .ASCIZ "taken by computer. " msg5: .ASCIZ "Computer wins! \n"

Exercise 20

Enter and run the complete program, more than once.

Capture a partial screenshot showing the console at the end of the game where you have won, and one where the computer has won.

There is actually a very simple strategy which is guaranteed to win if you make the first move, and has a very high prospect of winning even if you are the second player *provided that your opponent is not playing the same strategy* (as at present, where the computer is selecting 1-3 matchsticks at

Can you work out the winning strategy?

Optional exercises to improve/extend the game

If you have time available, try modifying and/or extending the program to achieve the following:

- When the game is completed, loop back to the beginning to play again automatically
- Either take it in turns to go first, or select who goes first at random, each round
- Change the starting number of matchsticks from 15 to a random number
- Keep scores of the number of times the computer, and the player, has won
- Figure out, and implement a smarter algorithm for the computer to play the game. (Note that by following the optimum algorithm it is always possible for the first player to guarantee a win).

random).

Chapter 4: Hangman

In this chapter we will use the instructions and techniques learned in the previous two chapters, to write a slightly more complex game: Hangman. This will require the implementation of simple graphics, and the ability to manipulate data that represent ASCII characters, rather than just numbers.

Low-res pixel graphics

ARMlite supports pixel graphics in three forms: lo-res, mid-res, and hi-res. In all three cases the graphics appear in their own pane within the Input/Output area. In this chapter we will be using the lo-res graphics, which offers a resolution of 32 x 24 pixels. Like other forms of I/O in ARMlite, pixel graphics are memory mapped. Here's an example:

```
MOV R0, #.red
STR R0, .Pixel0
MOV R0, #0xffa503
STR R0, .Pixel32
HALT
```

Notes:

- ARMlite recognises many common colour names, such as .red above, written in lower-case. The assembler simply translates these into a number representing the RGB colour format, as used in HTML. Because it is translated into a number value, the colour must be preceded by # to specify that it is to be used as an immediate value.
- You may also specify any RGB colour value directly as a number. The most convenient way to do this is using six digits of hex, such as #0xffa503 above, because the three pairs of hex digits specify the red, green, and blue colour components respectively.

Exercise 21

Enter and run the code above - you will see two pixels drawn on the graphics screen.

Given that the lo-res version is a grid of 32x24 pixels, work out the pixel numbers for the four corners of the screen, and modify the code so that it draws just one pixel of a different colour (any) in each corner.

Paste partial screenshots showing your code, and the resulting graphics screen.

We'll use this capability to draw the finished hangman picture, something like this:

1.0
176

In the game, we will need to draw the picture *progressively*, according to how many wrong letters the player has guessed. The code below shows a programming pattern for this, showing just the first two parts of the drawing, the 'Upright' and 'Cross beam':

```
//Register uses:
//R0 multiple, temporary, purposes
//R8 number of wrong guesses
  MOV R8, #10
drawPic:
  CMP R8, #1
BLT endDraw
  MOV R0, #.brown
  STR R0, .Pixe1739 //Upright
  STR R0, .Pixel707
  STR R0, .Pixel675
  STR R0, .Pixel643
  STR R0, .Pixel611
  STR R0, .Pixel579
  STR R0, .Pixel547
  STR R0, .Pixel515
  STR R0, .Pixel483
  STR R0, .Pixel451
  CMP R8, #2
BLT endDraw
  STR R0, .Pixel452 //Cross beam
  STR R0, .Pixel452
  STR R0, .Pixel453
  STR R0, .Pixel454
  STR R0, .Pixel455
  CMP R8, #3
  BLT endDraw
//TODO:
//Rope, Head, Body, Left leg, Right leg, Left arm, Right arm
endDraw:
  HALT
```

Notes:

- As before, we will place comments at the start recording the uses of the registers. R0 will be re-used for different purposes during the game (this is common).
- **R8** will hold the number of wrong letters guessed so far. In this example code it has been set straight to 10 at the top of the program, but later this will be determined by game logic.
- As highlighted above, before each element of the drawing is started, the value of R8 is compared, first to 1, then to 2, and so on. If at any point R8 is less than the specified value, execution is branched to endDraw, which at this stage is just a HALT.

Run the program above and confirm for yourself that it draws the first two components of the drawing. Then change the value put into **R8** at the start from **#10** down to **#1**, and confirm that this time just the first component is drawn.

Now, *following the same pattern*, create your own version of the complete hangman drawing. It does not have to look exactly like the one shown above, and it may be made larger if you wish. However:

- It should have exactly **nine** components in total.

- Then for the tenth incorrect guess (which results in the player losing) make a small change to the drawing, to indicate that the person has been hung. (For example, replacing the face with a black pixel, signifying the black hood placed over it).

- Do not spend a large amount of time on this, you can always improve the artistic quality of the drawing later.

When done, test the program, by running it with different initial values for **R8**, to check that, in each case the program draws the correct number of components.

When tested, paste a screenshot showing the *complete* drawing, and then paste in your code separately (it might not be possible to show all the code on the ARMlite screen, so it is better to copy the code as text).

Then make sure you **SAVE YOUR CODE** (e.g. as 'drawPic routine'), because you'll need to insert your routine into later code that we develop.

Now we can start to turn this into a game. The game is for two players - one sets the word, and one guesses it, and they should swap roles between runs.

Because we are going to have to hold and test the word in a register, this limits us to four characters, and since a three-character word is less interesting (and harder to guess, surprisingly) *the game will require that words have exactly four characters*.

The following code asks the setter to enter a word and stores it in a memory address labelled **secretWord**.

```
//Register uses:
//R0 multiple, temporary, purposes
captureWord:
    MOV R0, #setter
    STR R0, .WriteString
    MOV R0, #secretWord
    STR R0, .ReadSecret
    HALT
setter: .ASCIZ "Setter: Enter word\n"
secretWord:
```

Notes:

• **.ReadString** would read in a string from the input field. **.ReadSecret** does the same thing, but obscures the input on the display - often used for password entry, for example.

Run the code shown above and test that you can enter the word. The requirement for four characters is not enforced here, but you must play by the rules.

You should be able to see the secret word, encoded as four ASCII values, has been copied into the memory location (at the end of the program) corresponding to the **secretWord** label. (The way that ARMIIte presents this on screen gives the impression that the order has been reversed, but don't worry about this).

Paste a partial screenshot highlighting the memory location where your word has been stored, indicating separately how this corresponds to the ASCII values of the four characters of your word.

Having the word shown in the Memory location would allow a player who could remember ASCII values to guess every letter! So ... in the code, *just above* the **secretWord**: label definition, insert a new line:

.ALIGN 512

This will move the location of the secret word to the next available byte address that is divisible by **512**, which is just beyond the default view of memory.

Make the change, run the program, and confirm that you can no longer see the encoded word in the first page of memory.

Then change the Memory page number to **002** (as shown below):



and paste a partial screenshot that shows the encoded version of your entered word appears in location 0×00020 .

Then make sure you change the Memory page number back to 000 for the rest of the game!

We're ready to go for the whole program. The following code is complete, *except for your own* **drawPic** routine (*the code continues over the page*):

```
//Register uses:
//R0 multiple, temporary, purposes
//R1 secret word, loaded from memory (loaded & cleared each guess so it does not
show on the UI)
//R2 current letter guess
//R3 successful guesses in right place(s), otherwise zeros
//R4 holds the built up result (of underscores and correct letters)
//R5 to R7 temporary use in processing
//R8 number of wrong guesses
//R9 number of matches made
captureWord:
MOV R0, #setter
STR R0, .WriteString
MOV R0, #secretWord
STR R0, .ReadSecret
guessLetter:
MOV R0, #player
STR R0, .WriteString
waitForKey: LDR R2, .LastKeyAndReset
```

Richard Pawson

CMP R2, #0 **BEQ waitForKey** ORR R2,R2,#32 //Forces the character to be lower case MOV R6, #0 //Set to 1 if a NEW match is found MOV R7, #0 //This will increment 0 > 8 > 16> 24 as a shift amount to select successive characters checkForMatch: MOV R5, #0xff //The mask for character 1 LSL R5, R5, R7 //Shift mask to character of interest (first shift will be zero!) AND R0,R3,R5 //Apply mask to past guesses CMP R0, #0 BEQ cont //If char position is NOT empty this char has been correctly guessed LSR R4, R0, R7 B writeChar cont: LDR R1, secretWord AND R0, R1, R5 MOV R1, #0 //Reset so it does not reveal word on UI when paused LSR R4, R0, R7 //Get the char back to position 1 ORR R4, R4, #32 //Force the character to lower case CMP R4, R2 **BNE notAMatch** ORR R3, R3, R0 ADD R9, R9, #1 MOV R6, #1 //Set R6 to flag that the character has been matched B writeChar notAMatch: MOV R4, #95 //Underscore writeChar: STR R4, .WriteChar ADD R7, R7, #8 //Shift to next character CMP R7, #32 **BLT checkForMatch** MOV R0, #10 //Newline STR R0, .WriteChar //If there has not been a match, increment the number of misses CMP R6, #0 **BNE checkForWin** ADD R8, R8, #1 STR R8, .WriteUnsignedNum MOV R0, #wrong STR R0, .WriteString B drawPic checkForWin: CMP R9, #4 BNE drawPic MOV R0, #win STR R0, .WriteString HALT //Insert your drawPic code here - not including the endDraw, which has been redefined below endDraw: CMP R8, #10 //This many guesses means you're hung! **BLT** guessLetter MOV R0, #.black

```
STR R0, .Pixel519 //Head again
MOV R0, #lose
STR R0, .WriteString
MOV R0, #secretWord
STR R0, .WriteString
HALT
setter: .ASCIZ "Setter: Enter word\n"
player: .ASCIZ "Player: Try a letter\n"
win: .ASCIZ "You win!\n"
lose: .ASCIZ "You lose! Word was:"
wrong: .ASCIZ "wrong. "
.ALIGN 512
secretWord:
```

Copy the code above into a new file using a text editor and *save it* (e.g. as **Hangman.txt**). Then open your **drawPic** routine, copy and paste the code into the **Hangman** file, to replace the comment line highlighted above. Note that instead of halting at **endDraw**, the code now continues, so make sure you have the new code and that you aren't duplicating the **endDraw** label definition. Save the file again.

Load the complete file and ensure that it can be submitted and run successfully.

Now edit the URL used to access ARMlite by adding the highlighted text (known technically as a 'query string') on the end:

https://peterhigginson.co.uk/ARMlite/?profile=player

Adding the 'player profile' to the URL configures ARMlite to display only the controls that are relevant to someone playing the game, rather than a programmer. Also, the **Input/Output** area has been made twice the size.

Switching the configuration like this will have cleared the program, but you will find that you can still **Load** and then **Run** the program.

Run the program, and with a partner play the Hangman game. Remember that the secret words must be exactly four characters in length.

Paste a partial screenshot (from ARMlite in Player mode) showing the full screen.

Then run the program again, this time using **Single Step** (when it requests a user input you will need to enter the value, hit the **Return** key and then continue pressing the **Single Step** icon). Try to get as much feel as you can for how the code is working. You will find it helpful to refer to the register definitions at the top of the code.

Exercise 25

Think about ways in which the program might be improved, from a user's perspective, and *describe* your suggested improvements on your answer sheet (no need to implement them here).

If you have time, you might like to attempt some of your simpler suggested changes.

However, the most obvious improvement - letting the game work with longer secret words, and of variable length - is going to be very difficult using only the instructions that you have learned so far. It would be *possible* to split the word over two or more registers, but the program will end up with a lot of duplicated code.

Also, you might have found it frustrating, when drawing a line of pixels, that you had to specify each pixel individually. And if you wanted to move your whole drawing slightly to the right, say, you would have to change every single instruction that writes a pixel!

'Surely,' you might be saying, 'good programming is about generalisation?' - and you would be right. What we really need is a mechanism to allow us to 'iterate' over a range of memory locations, which might represent the characters in a string, a line of pixels on the screen, or an array of numeric values to be searched or sorted.

If you are thinking like this then you are re-enacting one of the crucial chapters in the early development of modern digital computers in the mid-1940s, which led to more flexible forms of specifying memory addresses that we today refer to as 'indirect' and/or 'indexed' addressing. If you are able to progress to the second part of the book you will learn how to learn these, and other powerful assembly language programming constructs, to write programs that are far more powerful – and interesting – than those that we have been able to write thus far.

Book II – Delving deeper

Chapter 5: Indirect & Indexed addressing

So far, when we have used LDR and STR to access memory locations we have used 'direct addressing' - we specify the address of the memory location *directly*, either as a number (in decimal or hex), or as a label that is translated into a number by the assembler, before running:

```
LDR R0, 100 Load into R0 the contents of memory location (decimal) 100
STR R1, 0x000f4 Store the contents of R1 into hex location 0x000f4
LDR R2, myExamGrade Load into R2 the contents of the location labelled myExamGrade in the code
```

The limitation of direct addressing should have become apparent to you in the Hangman exercise (Chapter 4). With direct addressing there is no way to *generalise* many routines: even to draw a straight line on the pixel screen, you must write a separate instruction for each pixel.

Now carefully read the code below, including comments. Note that we are now switching from the 'lo-res' pixel graphics used in the previous chapter, to 'mid-res': a grid of 64 x 48 pixels, each of which is still represented by 1 word (4 bytes) holding a 24-bit HTML colour value:

```
MOV R1, #.PixelScreen //Start of the mid-res pixel screen memory
MOV R2, #.red
MOV R3, #0 //This is our pixel counter
loop: ADD R4, R1, R3 //R4 now holds the address of the pixel of interest
STR R2, [R4] //Paint the pixel at the address specified in R4.
ADD R3,R3,#4 //Increment the pixel number (by 4 bytes = 1 word = 1 pixel)
CMP R3, #256 //256 will be one pixel past the end of the line (64 pixels x 4
bytes per pixel)
BLT loop
HALT
```

Notes:

The key new idea comes in this instruction: **STR R2**, **[R4]**. The use of the square brackets indicates that the second operand is using 'indirect addressing' meaning that we are specifying the address *indirectly* (using, in this case, a register value).

STR R2, [R4] does <u>not</u> mean: 'store the contents of **R2** into **R4**' (which would anyway not be possible with a **STR** instruction). Instead it means: 'store the contents of **R2** into the *memory address* that is held in **R4**'.

Another name for an indirect address is 'pointer'. Pointers are used extensively in computers, for example in the low-level implementation of all the main types of data structure.

Exercise 26

Run the code above, which should print a red line right across the pixel screen. Paste a partial screenshot showing the result.

In the beginning ...

The earliest computers did not have indirect or indexed addressing. However, the benefit of being able to vary the address in an instruction, especially within a loop, was recognised very early on. John Von Neuman (pictured) proposed a solution whereby instructions could modify other instructions in memory, an idea that became known as 'self-modifying code', and this idea was widely used on several early machines.



Von Neumann was aware of the risks posed by self-modifying code. For this reason, he proposed the safety mechanism that instructions could only overwrite the *operand* part(s) of another instruction, not the opcode (i.e. the type of instruction). On machines that followed his design, the most significant bit of each word determined whether that word was an instruction or data, so the rule could be enforced.

Within a couple of years, however, designers had figured out a better way to implement 'variable addresses' in hardware, specifically though the introduction of one or more new registers to hold a variable address. The first machine to implement this was the British-designed, Manchester Mark I. The new register was called the 'B' register, to distinguish it from the 'A' (accumulator) register. Later microprocessors introduced an 'X' (and sometimes a 'Y') register specifically to hold an index.

With the introduction of RISC ('Reduced Instruction Set Computer') processors, of which ARM is an example, dedicated registers were replaced with a set of general-purpose registers that could be used to hold data values or address values.

Picture credit: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John von Neumann

In the example above, the indirect address, held in R4, is made up of from a constant value held in R1, (the starting address for the grid of pixels) plus a variable number (in R3). We could say that the value in R1 is the 'base' address and the value in R3 is a variable 'index', added to the base. For this circumstance, which is very common, there is an even simpler syntax, known as 'indexed addressing', which (on ARMlite) is a specialised form of indirect addressing, as shown below:

```
MOV R1, #.PixelScreen //Start of the mid-res pixel screen memory - CONSTANT
MOV R2, #.red
MOV R3, #0 //This is our counter or 'index'
loop: STR R2,[R1+R3] //Paint the pixel at address defined by R1+R3
ADD R3,R3,#4 //Increment the index (by 4 bytes = 1 word = 1 pixel)
CMP R3,#256 //i.e. a total of 64 pixels
BLT loop
HALT
```

Notes:

- Using indexed addressing we have eliminated an instruction (ADD R4,R1,R3) from the previous version, and the need to use another register (R4).
- We still need the square brackets around the argument [R1+R3] because the sum of the values of those two registers forms an indirect address.

Run the revised version of the code above (i.e. using indexed addressing) and validate for yourself that it produces the same result.

Now modify the code so that it draws, instead, a *vertical, green* line, starting at the top left position. Remember that there are now 64 pixels per line, not 32, and that there are still 4 bytes (= 1 word) per pixel. Paste a partial screenshot showing your new code and the result.

Finally, write some code to draw a solid blue rectangle 20 pixels wide, by 10 pixels deep, starting at the top left pixel as before. Now you will need to have two registers, keeping track of the 'x' and 'y' coordinates, combining them into a third register that forms the index to be added to **R1** to draw the pixel. *Comment your code*. Paste a partial screenshot showing your new code and the result.

Indexed addressing is the means by which a high-level language implements arrays, allowing the retrieval of any element of an array in O(1) time rather than O(n).

Implementing Bubble Sort using indexed addressing

In the following example we will use indexed addressing to sort an 'array' of numbers held as data in memory. We'll use the 'Bubble sort' algorithm because it is simple to write and to observe when running slowly. It is, though, one of the least efficient sorting algorithms.

```
// Define & initialise registers
MOV R0, #arrayData //Address of array data
LDR R1, arrayLength
LSL R1, R1, #2 //Multiply this by 4 (for byte count)
MOV R2, #0 //outerLoop counter initialized
// R3 innerLoop counter
// R4 length of innerLoop
// R5 spare index into array
// R6 first in pair
// R7 second in pair
```

```
// R7 second in pair
  // R8 ?
startOfOuterLoop:
  MOV R3, #0 //reset inner loop counter to zero
  SUB R4, R1, R2 //set innerloop max to data length - outerLoopCounter...
  SUB R4, R4, #4 //...minus 4 more
  MOV R8, #0
innerLoop:
  LDR R6, [R0+R3] //Load first value from address (base + index)
  ADD R5, R3, #4 //Generate index for second value in pair
  LDR R7, [R0+R5] //Load second value
                 //Compare and swap if appropriate
  CMP R6, R7
  BGT swap
  B continueInnerLoop
swap:
  STR R7, [R0+R3]
  STR R6, [R0+R5]
  MOV R8, #1
continueInnerLoop:
  ADD R3, R3, #4
  CMP R3, R4 //Check if reached the end
  BLT innerLoop
continueOuterLoop:
  CMP R8, #0
  BEQ done
  ADD R2, R2, #4
  CMP R2, R1
  BGT done
  B startOfOuterLoop
done: HALT
.ALIGN 256 //Just to make data distinct from code in memory view
arrayLength: 10
arrayData: 9 //1st element
   8
  7
  6
   5
   4
   3
   2
  1
```

```
0 //last element
```

Enter the program, and submit, but don't run. Inspect the data in memory, starting at address **0x00100**. Then run the program and inspect the data again. Confirm for yourself that it has been sorted into ascending order.

Now modify the starting data, using randomly chosen values of multiple decimal digits. Add more data elements to the end, but adjust the value in **arrayLength** to reflect your new array size.

Submit your code and this time capture a partial screenshot showing just the array data in the *Memory* view, before and after sorting.

In the **// Define & initialise registers** section you will see: **// R8** ?. Your final task is to identify the meaning and role of **R8**.

Start by identifying all the lines of code where **R8** is referenced. Then trace through the algorithm, either on paper, or by running the program in Slow and/or Single Step mode, to figure out what role **R8** is performing. Describe it in your own words. What advantage does the coding relating to **R8** confer on the routine?

Implementing a binary search using indirect addressing

In the following code we use indirect addressing to implement a binary search algorithm.

```
// Define registers
   //R0 Target value
   //R1 Pointer to first data item
   //R2 Pointer to mid-point
   //R3 Pointer to last data item
  //R4 Temp data value
  //R5 Temp use to display messages
start:
   MOV R1, #first
   MOV R3, #last
   MOV R5, #msg1
   STR R5, .WriteString
   LDR R0, .InputNum
   STR R0, .WriteUnsignedNum
loop:
   ADD R2, R1, R3
   LSR R2, R2, #3 //Divide by 8, then...
   LSL R2, R2, #2 //...multiply by 4. Net effect is divide by 2, but modulo 4.
   LDR R4, [R2] //Get mid-point value
   CMP R0,R4
             //Compare target to mid value
   BEQ found
   BLT belowMid
   //Must be above mid if here
   MOV R1, R2
   ADD R1, R1, \#4 //start = mid + 4 (bytes)
   B checkForOverlap
belowMid:
   MOV R3, R2
   SUB R3, R3, #4 //start = mid - 4 (bytes)
   B checkForOverlap
checkForOverlap:
   CMP R1, R3
   BGT notFound
   B loop
notFound:
   MOV R5, #msg3
   STR R5, .WriteString
   B start
found:
   MOV R5, #msg2
   STR R5, .WriteString
   STR R2, .WriteHex
   B start
msg1: .ASCIZ "\nSearch for ?"
msg2: .ASCIZ "\nIs at memory location: "
msg3: .ASCIZ "\nNot found!"
.ALIGN 256 //Just to separate data from code in the memory view
first: 3
   6
   7
   15
   22
   24
   31
   50
   79
last: 94
```

Run the program above. Paste a screenshot that shows a successful search for a number that is in the array, highlighting in the memory area the hex address that has been returned.

Then show the result of searching for a number that isn't in the array.

What assumption does the binary search algorithm make about the data being searched?

Chapter 6: The System Stack, and Subroutines

You have probably already encountered the idea of the 'stack' data structure (if not, see panel) and you might have implemented a stack in a high-level programming language, using an array and a pointer. You might also have learned that on your computer there is something called 'the system stack', if only by accident – when you encountered a 'Stack Overflow Error'.

The system stack is typically implemented right down at the processor level. ARMlite supports a system stack, and there are dedicated assembly language instructions for using it: **PUSH** and **POP**.

Stacks

In Computer Science a 'stack' is a data structure designed specifically for holding values temporarily. Think of one of those spring-loaded plate 'dispensers' (pictured) that you see in some eateries. These hold a stack of plates, but only the topmost plate is



visible. If you remove a plate the next one 'pops' up in its place; and if you add a plate it 'pushes' the others down.

We can describe a stack as a 'LIFO' data structure, which stands for 'Last In, First Out'. Items are removed from the stack in the reverse of the order in which they were added.

When we make use of a stack in programming, the function for adding an item to the stack is typically called 'push', and the function for removing an item is typically called 'pop'. (Sometimes there is also a function called 'peek', which allows you to inspect the item at the top of the stack without removing it.)

It is sometimes convenient to make use of this system stack within your own assembly language code. The following program asks the user to type in a string, which is stored to a memory location. Then the string is reversed, by pushing the characters successively onto the stack (making use of indexed addressing to work through them), and then popping them and storing them back from the beginning, before writing the modified string to the console. There are certainly other ways to implement this functionality, but the use of a stack is a simple and common pattern:

```
// Define registers
// R0 Used for writing messages to screen
// R1 Index into string in memory
// R2 holding individual string characters
  MOV R0, #myString
  STR R0, .ReadString
  MOV R1, #0
  MOV R2, #0
  PUSH {R2} //So that when we pop the string we can detect the end
loop1: LDRB R2, [R0+R1]
  CMP R2, #0
  BEQ popAll
  PUSH {R2}
  ADD R1, R1, #1 //Because we're now working one byte at a time
  B loop1
popAll: MOV R1, #0 //Reset index
loop2: POP {R2}
  CMP R2, #0 //Look for end of string marker
   BEQ write
  STRB R2, [R0+R1]
  ADD R1, R1, #1
  B 100p2
write: STR R0, .WriteString
  HALT
myString:
```

Notes:

• For both the **PUSH** and **POP** instructions, the operand is surrounded by braces { ... }. This is because it is possible to push or pop multiple registers in one instruction, so the braces effectively define a list of registers. We'll see how to do that shortly.

Exercise 30

Run the program and paste a partial screenshot showing the input string and the result.

Now run it again in **Slow** mode and, after inputting the string, observe the **SP** ('Stack Pointer') register. Describe what you see happening there.

Now click Edit then Submit (this is just to reset the memory).

Change the memory page selector from **000** to **ffe** as shown:



Now run again in slow mode, switching between **Single** step and **Slow** if you wish, this time using **ABCDE** as your input word (because you should be able to recognise the hex values for the ASCII codes – 0x41 to 0x45). Once you've entered the word describe what you observe happening in the visible page of memory. Describe also how this appears to relate to the value in **SP**.

Why are the values (one character per word in this case) not cleared when the stack is emptied? Because there is no need to do so - it would just be wasting processing time to clear the word. The stack pointer tells the processor where to push (or pop) the next value.

Now consider the following short program:

```
loop: MOV R0, #0xffff
PUSH {R0}
B loop
```

Run the above program until it stops.

Describe what the program has done and why has it stopped.

Where has it stopped i.e. what was the last memory location that was written. (Hint: look at the contents of memory on page 000).

What you have hopefully discovered is that ARMlite, like most modern processors, knows where your program (and any data areas that you have declared in the assembly code) stops. It will allow the stack to expand to use up all the available memory (in this case almost a megabyte) but not to overwrite your instructions, or declared data areas.

Subroutines

The following code will allow you to draw any one specific pixel in mid-res mode. The pixel is specified as X, Y coordinates in R0 & R1respectively, and with the colour specified in R3:

```
MOV R0, #32 //X coordinate (0-63)
MOV R1, #24 //Y coordinate (0-47)
MOV R2, #.red //or any colour
drawPixel: MOV R3, #.PixelScreen
LSL R4, R0, #2 //Multiply X coordinate by 4
LSL R5, R1, #8 //Multiply Y coordinate by 256
ADD R5, R5, R4 //Get the pixel index
STR R2, [R3+R5]
HALT
```

We could build this code into a loop in order to draw a line, say, but if we wanted to draw just two arbitrary pixels, we would need to duplicate the five instructions from drawPixel onwards. This violates the DRY principle ('Don't Repeat Yourself') of good coding. We could easily branch to this code from multiple places, but how would we specify that, at the end of the routine, the code must branch back to a different place each time?

The answer lies in the idea of a subroutine. The following code shows the same drawPixel code, now set up as subroutine, and invoked twice:

```
MOV R0, #32 //X coordinate (0-63)
MOV R1, #24 //Y coordinate (0-47)
MOV R2, #.red //or any colour
BL drawPixel
MOV R0, #37
MOV R1, #19
MOV R2, #.green
BL drawPixel
HALT
```

```
//Subroutine
drawPixel: MOV R3, #.PixelScreen
LSL R4, R0, #2 //Multiply X coordinate by 4
LSL R5, R1, #8 //Multiply Y coordinate by 256
ADD R5, R5, R4 //Get the pixel index
STR R2, [R3+R5]
RET
```

Notes:

- After setting up the required values in R0, R1, and R2, we branch to the drawPixel code, but this time using a BL ('Branch with Link back') instruction rather than a regular B instruction.
- At the end of the drawPixel routine, there is a new instruction RET ('Return') which returns execution to the instruction following the last BL to be invoked.
- Here, the values held in in R0, R1, and R2 may be considered as 'parameters' passed into the subroutine.

The Wheeler Jump

The invention of the subroutine is generally credited to David Wheeler, who worked on the EDSAC at Cambridge University in the late 1940s. The idea of having re-usable general-purpose routines was not new, but until his invention, re-using them meant inserting the routine into your own code wherever you needed it. The idea of a routine that could be called from several places required a different kind of 'branch' or 'jump' (the terms were synonymous) that would preserve a link back. As a result, for many years, this new instruction was referred to informally as the 'Wheeler jump'. On ARMlite, the equivalent is **BL**. Picture credit: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David Wheeler (computer scientist)</u>



Exercise 32

Run the program above and check its operation. Extend it with another call to draw a third pixel, *changing only one of the three parameters*. Paste a partial screenshot showing your code and the resulting pixel screen.

Now run the program again, using **Single Step** this time. For each step, observe closely the values in the **PC** and **LR** registers, and then describe, *in some detail*, how these two registers are being used both during normal execution, and when a subroutine is invoked.

LR as you have probably guessed by now, stands for 'Link Register' - it holds the link referred to 'Branch with Link back'.

One of the principles of good programming is 'separating the interface from the implementation': we should be able to invoke a subroutine just by knowing its label, and by setting up required

parameters (if any) in the appropriate registers. (A subroutine may also act as a 'function' by returning a result, by putting the result into one or more defined registers). We shouldn't have to care, or even know, about how the subroutine works internally.

But there's a potential problem. *Before reading on*, see if you can figure out what that problem might be? Think about invoking the drawPixel routine from lots of places in a much larger, more complex, program ...

The problem is that drawPixel makes use of registers R3, R4, and R5, and in a larger program we might be using any or all those registers for other purposes. Calling the subroutine without inspecting its inner code, might cause that data to be lost or modified without us realising, thus causing errors that might not be noticed initially. We could re-write our drawPixel routine to use *fewer* additional registers, certainly, but the problem will still exist.

The solution makes use of the system stack:

```
//Draws a single pixel (medium resolution)
//Specify X coordinate (0-63) in R0
//Specify Y coordinate (0-47) in R1
//Specify colour in R2
drawPixel:
    PUSH {R3-R5, LR}
    MOV R3, #.PixelScreen
    LSL R4, R0, #2
    LSL R5, R1, #8
    ADD R5, R5, R4
    STR R2, [R3+R5]
    POP {R3-R5,LR}
    RET
```

Notes:

- We have moved all the comments out of the code to above the 'entry point' of the subroutine. The comments explain just what you need to know to use the subroutine, without having to look at the internal implementation.
- The first instruction in the subroutine pushes multiple register values onto the stack including the value of any registers that we are going to change, or *might* change, within the routine
- The last instruction restores the original values of any changed registers, by popping them from the stack.
- The only changed registers *not restored* to their original values would be any results being passed back (which should be documented in the comments). Our drawPixel routine does not pass back any result its result is shown on the pixel screen.
- PUSH and POP may take any R0 to R12, and/or LR, either as a comma-separated list of individual registers, or contiguous ranges of numbered registers (e.g. R3-R5), or a combination.
- The list of registers for the PUSH and POP instructions should be identical: then it is the responsibility of the processor to ensure that the values go back to the correct registers, even though they will have been through the LIFO mechanism of the stack.

But why have we included LR in the list of registers to be saved and restored, when we are not explicitly changing it within our code, and the example code already worked correctly?

The answer is that in a larger program we will have subroutines that call other subroutines. (We can even have subroutines that call themselves 'recursively', which is the most obvious way to implement a Merge Sort routine, for example. Each nested, or recursive subroutine call, will push another set of values (known as a 'stack frame') onto the stack, popping them again until all the calls are completed. But the LR can only remember one 'link back' address at a time. By saving and restoring the LR within the subroutine we ensure that the 'return address' isn't being lost by any nested within our code. OK, we haven't got any nested BL call within our drawPixel routine. But, *trust us*, if you fail to save and restore the LR when you *do* need to, the resulting bugs can sometimes be very hard to diagnose - so *it is simply a good, safe practice to always save and restore* LR *in every subroutine, even though it is very slightly wasteful*.

A Multiply subroutine

The following subroutine will multiply two integers:

```
//Multiplies two integers in R0 & R1 returing the product in R2
//The product must fit within 32 bits to be correct.
multiply:
  PUSH {R0,R1,R3,LR}
  MOV R2, #0 // result
processRightmostDigit:
  AND R3, R1,#1 //To test rightmost bit
   CMP R3, #0
   BEQ skip
             //Rightmost bit is a 0
  ADD R2,R2, R0
skip:
   LSR R1,R1, #1
   CMP R1, #0 //If there are no more digits
  BEQ end
  LSL R0,R0,#1
  B processRightmostDigit
end:
  POP {R0,R1,R3,LR}
   RET
```

Exercise 33

Write a program that calls this subroutine within a loop, to print out the 'times table' for any selected single digit number, up to the 9th multiple. For example, if the user enters 5, the console should show:

```
1 x 5 = 5
2 x 5 =10
...
9 x 5 = 45
```

Capture your complete program, and a screenshot showing the multiples of 7 (or as much as fits on the console).

Chapter 7: Interrupts


Interrupts are a mechanism for responding to events. In an industrial control system, or an engine management system, an event might arise from a hardware sensor such as a pressure switch, or thermostat. Events can also be generated inside the computer: from a hardware timer, a key press, or a mouse movement.

Without interrupts the only way to handle such events is to 'poll' each possible event source, regularly, to see if it has been activated. This is inefficient, and requires a lot of specialised code. It is also difficult to ensure that the poll is executed at regular intervals, since responding to different events may take different amounts of time. And in many cases, there is a 'main' program to be executed as well.

An interrupt is a hardware mechanism that effectively pauses execution of the main program, branches to a special type of subroutine that determines the source and nature of the event, and any immediate action that needs to be taken, and then resumes execution of the main program.

Interrupts and the Fetch-Execute cycle

Interrupts are processed only at the end of each fetch-execute cycle. Why? To allow interrupts *during* fetch, decode, or execute would not permit the state of the processor to be saved in a consistent manner, and therefore it would not possible to resume processing, safely, once the interrupt had been processed.



Interrupt storm

On systems that have frequently interrupts there is a risk of an 'interrupt storm', where new interrupts are being generated faster than they can be processed. Systems designers must avoid this possibility, for example by:

- ensuring there is sufficient processing power to render the possibility unlikely

- providing an interrupt prioritisation mechanism in hardware, so that high priority interrupts can interrupt the handling of lower priority interrupts

- deliberately 'throttling' interrupts: using hardware to limit the minimal interval between interrupt requests.

Pin interrupts

Early microprocessors had a single pin on the package labelled something like 'IRQ' (for 'Interrupt **ReQ**uest'). ARMlite simulates such a pin, but it is made visible on screen only when interrupts have been explicitly enabled. We are now going to use it. First, we need to write a main program that keeps the processor busy:

```
//Main program
MOV R1, #.PixelScreen
MOV R2, #0 //Pixel index
loop: LDR R0, .Random //Colour
STR R0, [R1+R2]
ADD R2, R2, #4
CMP R2, #12288
BLT loop
MOV R2, #0
B loop
```

Exercise 34

Run the program above and verify for yourself that it runs continuously - and fast. Pause at some point and paste a partial screenshot showing the output.

We want to interrupt this main routine, and write something to the console each time. To keep it simple we will write a subroutine that just writes the character **A** to the console each time it is called:

```
//Interrupt Routine
writeA: PUSH {R0}
MOV R0, #65
STR R0, .WriteChar
POP {R0}
RFE
```

Notes:

- As with any subroutine, if we are going to make any use of registers, we must take care to preserve and restore the values in those registers on the stack, using PUSH and POP.
- However, we do not need to save and restore the value of LR (unless we are explicitly modifying it in our routine, which would be unusual), because when an interrupt routine is called, the processor does not make use of LR for the return link. (We'll see how it manages the return link shortly).
- Because of this different approach to managing the return to the main program, we end our routine not with RET instruction (as we do for normal subroutines) but with an RFE ('Return From Exception') instruction, because an interrupt is an exceptional circumstance.

Having added the interrupt routine, we need to specify how and when this is to be called. This is done with the following code, *at the start of the program*:

```
// Set Up Interrupts
MOV R0, #writeA
STR R0, .PinISR //Specify the routine to call when the interrupt Pin is set
MOV R0, #1
STR R0, .PinMask //Enable the interrupt Pin, by setting bit 0 to 1
STR R0, .InterruptRegister //Enable interrupts generally
```


Notes:

- As explained in the comments, this code does three *necessary* things.
- It might seem odd that having specified that you want the 'Pin interrupt' to call the routine writeA, that you still need to 'enable the interrupt Pin', and then to 'enable interrupts generally'. This is needed because (as we shall see) ARMlite supports different kinds of interrupts, and, for some applications, it is important to enable/disable each type of interrupt, or disable all interrupts, during certain critical parts of the main program.
- ARMlite's coding patterns for interrupts closely matches those of a real ARM processor, although the specific forms of interrupt are particular to this simulation.

Add the code for the **Interrupt Routine** after the end of the **Main Program**, and then add the **Set Up Interrupts** code *at the start*.

Run the program and verify that the main program continues to execute as before.

However, you will now see that the 'Interrupt Pin' has appeared within the processor area:



Verify that each time you click this icon (while the program is running) an A is written to the console.

Does this interrupt appear to slow down the main program?

Pause the program, put a breakpoint on the MOV R0, #65 line , then resume running.

Click the interrupt Pin (remember that the processor will pause just *before* this breakpoint is reached).

What is the value in LR?

Without resuming execution, go to memory page ffe, to view the stack at the end of the page. The stack pointer (SP) will tell you the memory address of the top of the stack at this point. It will indicate that there are three values currently in the stack. Paste a partial screenshot showing those three values.

What is the significance of the value at the top of the stack (i.e. in the memory address held in **SP**). (hint: look for this value elsewhere on the screen)?

Press **Single Step** four times. From which instruction does the execution of the main program resume? How does the processor know where to resume from?

The last value in the stack (0x8000001) is the means by which the processor saves its current status, including the four status bits that you can see on the ARMlite's user interface. The reason for this is that any of those items could be changed within an interrupt service routine, and need to be restored.

The Interrupt Pin icon simulates the way that a hardware pin works on a real microprocessor. On a real computer this pin will be connected to one or more hardware devices such as the keyboard, mouse, or a hardware timer (regular pulse generator). ARMlite simulates such capabilities.

Keyboard Interrupts

Through small modifications to the previous code, shown highlighted below we can use the simulated keyboard interrupts:

```
// Set up interrupts
  MOV R0, #writeA
  STR R0, .KeyboardISR
  MOV R0, #1
  STR R0, .KeyboardMask
  STR R0, .InterruptRegister
//Main task - random dots
  MOV R1, #.PixelScreen
  MOV R2, #0 //Pixel index
loop: LDR R0, .Random //Colour
  STR R0, [R1+R2]
  ADD R2, R2, #4
  CMP R2, #12288
  BLT loop
  MOV R2, #0
  B loop
//Interrupt routine
writeA: PUSH {R0}
  LDR R0, .LastKey
  STR R0, .WriteChar
  POP {R0}
  RFE
```

Make the modifications shown above and run the program. Is the Interrupt Pin now shown?

While the program is running, type characters on the keyboard and verify that they are immediately written to the console.

Does it distinguish between upper and lower case letters?

Clock interrupts

Many applications need accurate knowledge of the passage of time, to pace things. This is achieved by means of a hardware timing device that interrupts the processor on a regular basis. ARMlite can simulate this capability, as shown in the following code which causes a single large pixel to flash black and white:

```
// Set up Interrupt handling
   MOV R0, #pixelClock
   STR R0,.ClockISR
   MOV R0,#1000
   STR R0,.ClockInterruptFrequency
   MOV R0,#1
   STR R0,.InterruptRegister //Enable all interrupts
mainProgram: B mainProgram //Here, just an empty loop!
pixelClock:
   PUSH {R0,R1}
   MOV R1, #.white
   LDR R0, .Pixel0
   EOR R0,R0,R1 //ExOr with all 1s switches black to white or vice versa
   STR R0, .Pixel0
   POP {R0,R1}
   RFE
```

Exercise 37

Run the program. Time the flashing pixel with your watch - what is its periodicity?

The frequency of interrupts is set by ClockInterruptFrequency.

How would you get the pixel clock to flash black and white once a second?

Click-pixel interrupts

The other form of interrupt that ARMlite can handle is the user clicking with the mouse on a pixel within the (mid-res, or hi-res) pixel screen. This can be useful for writing simple drawing applications, or for interactive games.

The following example code will paint a pixel red wherever the user clicks within the pixel screen:

```
//Set up interrupts
  MOV R0, #paint
   STR R0,.PixelISR
  MOV R0,#1
  STR R0,.PixelMask //Set pixel click interrupts on
  STR R0,.InterruptRegister //Enable all interrupts
//Set up colour
  MOV R12, #.red
mainLoop: B mainLoop //Does nothing at present
//Interrupt driven routine to paint a pixel that user clicks on
//Colour is specified in R12 (global variable)
paint:PUSH {R1,R2}
  MOV R1, #.PixelScreen
  LDR R2, .LastPixelClicked
  LSL R2,R2,#2 //Multiply pixel number by 4 to get byte address
  STR R12, [R1+R2]
  POP {R1, R2}
   RFE
```

Exercise 38

Run the program above and validate that you can click on any pixel to paint it red.

Create an additional, *separate*, interrupt routine that is driven by *keyboard interrupts* (refer to previous section) and, depending on which of two (or more) keys is hit, switches the value of the colour in the global variable **R12**.

Run the modified program and show, with a partial screenshot that you can now create pixels of different colours (i.e. the screen should show multiple pixels of at least two colours). Also capture your modified code.

Interrupts and the operating system

As you might have realised, the code you have just written for reading the keyboard and writing the character to the console, for maintaining a real-time clock, and responding to mouse clicks, while other processes are running, emulates – in a simple fashion – two of the core functions of the operating system on your computer.


Chapter 8: Snake

In this chapter we are going to use many of the techniques we have learned in this book to build a realistic implementation of the well-known Snake game.

Snake

Most people who play video games will have played 'Snake' or 'Serpent' at some point: steering a snake around the screen to gobble apples, the snake growing one segment longer for each apple eaten. More sophisticated versions of the game exist for modern PCs and mobile phones, but the earliest versions were created for hand-held dedicated game devices, with low-resolution monochrome liquid-crystal displays, like the one shown on the right.

The earliest versions of these devices were built using 8-bit microprocessors, with very limited memory. Both for performance and memory efficiency, the software for this and similar games was written in assembly language and then assembled into machine code.



In this chapter we are going to re-create a simple version of Snake from scratch, written in a modern assembly language to run on the ARMlite simulation. With very little modification the same code could run on, say, a Raspberry Pi with a memory-addressable display device.

Тір

As we are going to be a developing a more substantial application this time, incrementally, you might find it easier to use a freestanding code editor - which can be as simple as Notepad, or as sophisticated as an IDE. With each iteration, make the edits in the code editor and save the new version of the file (as simple text) - then use the **Load** button on ARMlite to load the latest version of the code.

Create a moving snake

Let's get started by writing a simple loop that will move the head of the snake one pixel to the right each iteration. In this version, the snake will grow continually, which is not what we want for the final game, but we'll fix that in due course:

```
//Define registers
  // R0-2 reserved for temporary uses
  // Global variables:
  // R3 Tail position
  // R4 Head position
  // Constants:
  MOV R10, #. PixelScreen
  MOV R11, #.green //Colour of snake
//Initialise game
  MOV R3, #1084 //Initialise tail and ...
  MOV R4, #1088 //Head next to it (4 bytes = 1 word = 1 pixel)
  STR R11, [R10+R3] //Draw 2-segment snake
  STR R11, [R10+R4]
update:
  ADD R4,R4,#4 //Increment head position
  STR R11, [R10+R4] //Draw new head
  B update
Exercise 39
```

Load the code, and *before running it*, **Single Step** through a few iterations of the update loop, so that you understand what all the code is doing.

Then run the program (using the **Play** button).

What problems do you immediately notice?

Control the frequency of updates

Of the problems with this first iteration, the most immediate one is the speed. The best way to control the speed is to use the clock interrupts. Make the following modifications, to the code:

```
//Define registers
  // R0-2 reserved for temporary uses
  // Global variables:
  // R3 Tail position
  // R4 Head position
   // Constants:
  MOV R10, #. PixelScreen
  MOV R11, #.green //Colour of snake
//Set up interrupts - but don't enable yet
  MOV R0, #update
  STR R0, .ClockISR
  MOV R0, #0x50
  STR R0,.ClockInterruptFrequency
//Initialise game
  MOV R3, #1084 //Initialise tail and ...
  MOV R4, #1088 //Head next to it (4 bytes = 1 word = 1 pixel)
   STR R11,[R10+R3] //Draw 2-segment snake
   STR R11, [R10+R4]
```

STR R0, .InterruptRegister //Now we are ready to handle interrupts

mainLoop: b mainLoop //Just keeps the processor running, pending interrupts

Notes:

update:

MOV R0, #1

//Interrupt driven

B update RFE

ADD R4,R4,#4 //Increment head position

STR R11, [R10+R4] //Draw new head

- We set up the clock interrupts at the start (by convention), but interrupts *overall* are not enabled until after the game has been initialised otherwise the update routine might be called before there is any snake to move.
- The ClockInterruptFrequency is defined in milliseconds. Here we have set it up to fire every 50 milliseconds.
- We need a mainLoop, even though it is empty, so that the processor continues running.
- Because update is now interrupt driven, it now ends with RFE instead of B update.
- update does not save any registers on the stack because the only registers it uses are global variables.

Make the changes shown and run the program.

Try altering the **ClockInterruptFrequency**, up or down from 50 millisecond intervals.

How might it be possible to allow the user to select the speed, corresponding to different levels of difficult (description only required - no need to code it now)?

Change direction with the W,A,S,D keys

Next, we will permit the user to alter the direction of the snake's movement, using the standard **W**,**A**,**S**, and **D** keys for Up, Left, Down, and Right. We could check for keypresses within our update loop, but a more elegant solution is to use keyboard interrupts. Here's the interrupt routine:

```
//Called by keyboard interrupt
```

```
//If valid key (W,A,S,D) has been pressed, transfer this to R9
keyPress: PUSH {R0}
  LDR R0,.LastKey //Read the last key pressed (but don't wait for one)
  CMP R0,#87 //W key
  BEQ updateLastKey
  CMP R0,#65 //A key
  BEQ updateLastKey
  CMP R0,#83 //S key
  BEQ updateLastKey
  CMP R0,#68 //D key
  BEQ updateLastKey
  B .+2 //If not a valid new key don't change last key
updateLastKey:
  MOV R7, RØ
  POP {R0}
  RFE
```

Notes:

- This new routine can be placed after the existing update routine.
- B .+2 means 'Branch 2 instructions forward' (i.e. skip over the next instruction). When making *small, local* jumps, this syntax can be less cluttered than defining an additional label.
- In this routine, we are saving the value of R0 on the stack because it might have been in use for another purpose when the interrupt was called. R7, however, is globally defined.

And here are the changes needed to the start of the program - one new global variable definition, and an additional interrupt set up:

```
//Define registers
...
// R7 ASCII value of last key pressed
...
//Set up interrupts - but don't enable yet
MOV R0, #update
STR R0, .ClockISR
MOV R0, #0x19
STR R0, .ClockInterruptFrequency
MOV R0, #keyPress
STR R0, .KeyboardISR
MOV R0, #1
STR R0, .KeyboardMask
```

Now we must make use of the last key press (in R9) to control the direction of movement in the update routine:

update:
<pre>//Switch on direction of last key</pre>
CMP R7,#87 //W key
BEQ up
CMP R7,#65 //A key
BEQ left
CMP R7,#83 //S key
BEQ down
<pre>// By default the snake will move right</pre>
right:ADD R4,R4,#4 //+4 (bytes) moves right one pixel
B reDraw
down: ADD R4,R4,#256 //+64*4 moves down one row
B reDraw
up: SUB R4,R4,#256 //-64*4 moves up one row
B reDraw
<pre>left: SUB R4,R4,#4 //-4 moves left one pixel</pre>
reDraw:
ADD R4,R4, #4 //Increment head position DELETED
STR R11,[R10+R4] //Draw new head
REF

Add the new code and make all the changes shown above, in the correct places then run the program. Make the snake change in all four directions and then pause the program, capturing a screenshot showing the pixel screen.

Hitting an edge is 'Game Over'

In the real game, if the snake hits any of the four edges, the game is over. The top and bottom edges are straight forward: we can test whether the value of R4 is less than 0, or greater than the maximum pixel address: 12284 (= 64 x 48 x 4, the 4 because a pixel is 4 bytes). For the left and right edges, we need to look at the least-significant 8 bits of the pixel number only, by ANDing the number with #255. If the snake is moving right, we need to look out for the least-significant 8 bits evaluating to 0. If the snake is moving left, we need to look out for the least-significant 8 bits evaluating to #252 (because we are deducting 4 each time, not 1). Here are the modifications required to the core of the update routine:

```
right:ADD R4,R4,#4 //+4 (bytes) moves right one pixel
   AND R0,R4,#255
   CMP R0,#0
  BEQ gameOver
   B reDraw
down: ADD R4,R4,#256 //+64*4 moves down one row
  MOV R0, #12284 // One past the last valid pixel
  CMP R4,R0
  BGT gameOver
  B reDraw
up: SUB R4,R4,#256 //-64*4 moves up one row
   CMP R4,#0
 BLT gameOver
   B reDraw
left: SUB R4,R4,#4 //-4 moves left one pixel
  AND R0,r4,#255
  CMP R0,#252
  BEQ gameOver
```

We will also need to define the gameOver label, which may be placed after the current end of the code:

```
gameOver: MOV R0, #over
STR R0,.WriteString
HALT //To stop program execution running into data area
over: .ASCIZ " Game Over!\n"
```

Exercise 42

Make the changes shown above and run the program four times, validating that the game is over if the snake's head touches any of the four edges, but that you can turn *just before the edge*.

(In programming we talk about the need to test 'edge' or 'boundary' conditions - in this case the condition corresponds to a physical edge or boundary!)

Paste a partial screenshot showing a turn having been made just before the edge.

The snake may not cross itself

We can also add the rule that the game is over if the snake's head passes over its body. The simplest way to do this is to test whether the pixel the head is *about to move onto* is already green:

Exercise 43

Make the changes shown above and run the program. Capture a partial screenshot showing that the game is over if the snake crosses itself (include both the pixel screen and the console message).

Run the game and try 'reversing direction' (e.g. hit the **A** key while the snake is moving right). What happens?

Create an apple in a random position

Now let's add an apple, in a random location on the screen. Then, whenever the snake 'eats' (passes over) the apple, add one to the player's score and generate an apple in a new random position. We need to generate a random number in the range 0 - 12280, and it must be divisible by 4. By default, ARMlite's .Random capability generates a 32-bit random number. We can AND the result with a 'bit mask' of 0b0000000000000000111111111100 (0x3ffc) to cut it down to the range 0 - 16380, and then we will just have to test to see if the result is within the required range and if necessary 'throw [the dice] again'.

First, we'll define a new constant for the apple colour, and a new global variable to hold the count of apples eaten:

//Define registers

MOV R8, #0 //Score of apples eaten // Constants: ... MOV R12, #.red //Colour of apple

The following subroutine will generate a random pixel number in the required range and paint the apple on that pixel. It also checks to the see that we are not placing the apple anywhere on the snake's body i.e. on a pixel that is already green:

```
//Generates apple in random valid location
createApple: push {R0,R1, LR}
newRandom: LDR R1,.Random // gets a random 32 bit pattern
MOV R0, #0x3ffc // Limit random to 14 bits
AND R1,R1,R0
MOV R0, #12284 //Max pixel number
CMP R1,R0
BGT newRandom //'Throw again'
LDR R0, [R10+R1] //Get intended pixel
CMP R0,R11 //Compare pixel to snake colour
BEQ newRandom
STR R12, [R10+R1] //Draw apple
POP {R0,R1,LR}
RET
```

Notes:

- This new routine can be placed anywhere that doesn't overlap with an existing routine. The author chose to place it just before gameOver, and it is suggested that you do the same for consistency with the book.
- Because this is a subroutine that will be called using **BL** (not an interrupt routine) it ends with **RET**
- The PUSH and POP are not strictly necessary for this code (because R0 has only local uses, and we don't have any nested subroutine calls that could result in losing the value of LR), but we have included these because it is a good, safe practice.

And we can call **createApple** within the game initialisation sequence (but still before enabling interrupts):

//Initialise game

```
...

BL createApple

STR R0, .InterruptRegister //Now we are ready to handle interrupts
```

And then at the end of the update routine, within the reDraw section we can test whether the apple has been eaten, and if so, update the score and create an apple in a new position:

We can also write out the score when the game is over by modifying the gameOver sequence:

```
gameOver: MOV R0, #over
STR R0,.WriteString
MOV R0, #score
STR R0,.WriteString
STR R8, .WriteSignedNum
HALT //To stop program execution running into data area
over: .ASCIZ " Game Over!\n"
score: .ASCIZ "Your score: "
```

Exercise 44

Make all the changes shown since the last exercise. Pause the game and capture a partial screenshot showing the snake and an apple.

Play again, eating at least two apples then capture a screenshot showing the final pixel screen and your score.

Making the snake grow only when an apple is eaten

Our current game it is not like the *real* snake game: where the snake starts at two segments, but grows in length only with each apple eaten. Implementing this poses some significant challenges - because we need to keep track not just of the head and the tail of the snake, but also of each body segment - so that the tail follows the same path as the head.

If we store each memory address that corresponds to a snake segment in a *queue* data structure, then as we move the head we can *enqueue* its new address (i.e. add it to the *end* of the queue), and as we move the tail forwards we can dequeue its position. (Perhaps counter-intuitively, this means that the tail is technically at the *start* of the queue, and the head is at the *end*).

A queue consists of a collection of successive words in memory. We can define this with a label at the very end of our code:

```
over: .ASCIZ " Game Over!\n"
score: .ASCIZ "Your score: "
.ALIGN 256
body: //The 'queue' of body segments from here onwards
```

Notes:

 ALIGN is needed to ensure that the queue starts on a word boundary (it might not otherwise, because of the previous data being a list individual bytes). ALIGN 4 would achieve what we need, but ALIGN 256 starts the queue on the next page of memory, which is convenient for viewing.

We also need to define two more registers, to act as pointers to the front and back of the queue:

//Define registers

// R5 Front of queue (address of snake's tail)
// R6 Back of queue (address of snake's head)

And then initialise those pointers:

```
//Initialise game
MOV R3, #1084 //Initialise tail and ...
MOV R4, #1088 //Head next to it (4 bytes = 1 word = 1 pixel)
STR R11,[R10+R3] //Draw 2-segment snake
STR R11,[R10+R4]
MOV R5, #body //Pointer front of queue, initialised to first data loc
ADD R6,R5,#4 //Pointer to head address in body data (1 after tail)
STR R3, [R5] //R3 points to the tail address
STR R4, [R6] //R4 points to the head address
MOV R0, #1
BL createApple
STR R0, .InterruptRegister //Now we are ready to handle interrupts
```

Notes:

• Here we are making effective use of indirect addressing. In R5, for example, we are <u>not</u> holding the value (#.green) of the tail of the snake; rather, we are holding the address in memory of the pixel representing the tail of the snake.

Now within the **reDraw** code, as well as drawing the green head in its new location we need to add that new location to the back of the queue. Then, unless the snake has eaten an apple, we need to paint the current tail pixel white again, and *dequeue* that pixel reference. (If the snake has eaten an apple, we skip over the code that moves the tail, with the result that the snake will grow in length by one pixel).

```
reDraw:
  //First check if the snake would be crossing itself
  LDR R0, [R10+R4] // read, from screen, contents of next pixel
                     //If it is snake colour...
  CMP R0,R11
  BEO gameOver
  ADD R6,R6,\#4 //Increment the back of queue pointer (by 1 word = 4 bytes)
 STR R4, [R6] //Store the new head pixel number at the rear of the queue
  CMP R0, R12 //Check if pixel is apple colour
  BEQ eat
  MOV R0, #.white
  STR R0, [R10+R3] //Paint the current tail pixel white
  ADD R5,R5,#4 //Increment front of queue pointer
  LDR R3,[R5] //Retrieve pixel number for the new tail
  BNE .+3 //Skip to RFE
  B.+3 //Skip to RFE
eat: ADD R8,R8, #1 //Increment score
  BL createApple
  STR R11, [R10+R4] //Draw new head
  RFE
```

Exercise 45

Make all the highlighted changes since the last exercise. You should now find that the snake remains at two segments until you eat an apple.

Grow the snake by *at least two segments* then paste a partial screenshot showing the ending screen and your score.

When stopped, go to memory page 002 and take a partial screenshot of that page of memory.

Describe in your own words what is being held in those memory words.

Save your program

Although our program is working correctly from the point of view of the user, it is not a good implementation.

The problem is that with each movement of the snake, the active part of the queue (the memory locations between the front and back of the queue) is continually advancing through memory, leaving 'dead' data in its trail. Eventually the queue is going to hit the end of memory even if the actual length off the snake remains quite short.

ARMlite has a 1Mbyte or memory, so even if the snake advances by 10 pixels a second, so you would need to keep playing the game, deliberately missing the apple, for several hours before you'd reach the end of memory.

But a program that behaves in this way is sometimes described as having a 'memory leak' and no self-respecting programmer would leave their code doing this.

Implementing a circular queue

The answer, as you might know if you have already studied data structures, is to fix the maximum length of queue and implement it as a circular queue - wrapping the pointers around the end.

How long should we make the queue? Well, given that there are only 3072-pixel locations, even a player with *perfect reactions and planning* cannot make a snake longer than that, so let's reserve 3072 words of memory for the queue, with this change:

.ALIGN 256 body: .BLOCK 3072 //For the 'queue' of body segments limit: //1 past end of queue data

Then within reDraw:

```
reDraw:
   //First check if the snake would be crossing itself
   LDR R0, [R10+R4] // read, from screen, contents of next pixel
   CMP R0,R11 //If it is snake colour...
  BEQ gameOver
  ADD R6,R6,#4 //Increment the back of queue pointer (by 1 word = 4 bytes)
   CMP R6,#limit //Check pointer is still within end of queue data area
  BLT .+2
  MOV R6, #body //If not loop pointer back to start of body data
  STR R4, [R6] //Store the new head pixel number at the rear of the queue
  CMP R0, R12 //Check if pixel is apple colour
  BEQ eat
  MOV R0, #.white
   STR R0, [R10+R3] //Paint the current tail pixel white
   ADD R5,R5,#4 //Increment front of queue pointer
  CMP R5,#limit //Check pointer is still within end of queue data area
  BLT .+2
  MOV R5, #body //If not loop pointer back to start of body data
  LDR R3, [R5] //Retrieve pixel number for the new tail
  B .+3 //Skip to RFE
eat: ADD R8,R8, #1 //Increment score
  BL createApple
  STR R11, [R10+R4] //Draw new head
  RFE
```

Exercise 46

Make the changes, and , when you've checked that it submits OK, save your program.

Then switch to the 'Player mode':

https://peterhigginson.co.uk/ARMlite/?profile=player

and enjoy playing the game.

Possible game enhancements

If you have time you might consider improving the game. Here are some suggestions, though you might think of your own, too:

- At the end of the game, give the user the option to play another game without having to stop and re-start the program.
- Make the start position of the snake, and its initial direction of movement, random.

- Give the player the option, at the start of the game, to change the speed, by inputting a number and translating this to the appropriate range for the ClockInterruptFrequency.
- Speed up the clock frequency as more apples are eaten.
- Create multiple apples.
- Create one or more 'hazards', at random, that the snake must avoid touching.
- Ignore accidental reverses rather than dying instantly.

Appendices

Appendix I: AQA vs. ARMlite

ARMlite simulates a cut-down version of a 32-bit ARM processor. The AQA instruction set (as issued with each of the A-level exam questions to date) is also *based on* the ARM instruction set, though cut down even further, and not followed as rigorously. AQA does not specify the word size, and specific exam questions have sometimes suggested that a register is 16, or even 8 bits wide. In practice, this lack of definition is not a big issue, since exam questions tend to deal with small data values - in which case it would make no difference if a register was 8,16 or 32 bits wide.

The AQA instruction set is limited to these instructions:

LDR, STR, ADD, SUB, MOV, CMP, B, BEQ, BNE, BGT, BLT, AND, ORR, EOR, MVN, LSL, LDR, and HALT

Chapters 1 - 4 of this book use only those instructions, and in a manner consistent with the AQA instruction set, which, to date, is included with each exam question on assembly language. Students should be aware that in an exam, they may use only those instructions and only in the ways spelled out on the instruction sheet included in the exam.

AQA specifies that LDR and STR take, as their second operand, a <memory ref>. Surprisingly, AQA does not specify exactly what form(s) this <memory ref> may take. However, as of the time of writing this book, the following may be *inferred* from past questions:

- <memory ref> is a direct address. AQA has never, to date, made any use of, or reference to, indexed or indirect addressing modes. The AQA exam questions to date have involved simple programming exercises where there is no need for indexed/indirect addressing, and where the latter would confer no advantage. Nonetheless, students that have learned these approaches should be clear that they should not use indexed/indirect modes in an exam.
- <memory ref> is a decimal number. AQA has not, to date, specified any direct address in hexadecimal or binary. Nor has AQA, to date, made use of a label as a memory address (labels have been used, to date, only to label instructions – not memory addresses).
- Although AQA has not, to date, specified it, we may infer from past questions, that <memory ref> is a 'word address'. ARMlite, in common with the ARM and most other modern processors, uses 'byte addressing'.

The last point is the most important one to understand. Assuming AQA does mean *word addressing* (and assuming *decimal* addresses), then the following AQA code:

LDR R0, 100 LDR R1, 101

would result in registers R0 and R1 being loaded with two different values from two successive words in memory. With ARMIIte, and on a real ARM processor, the second instruction would give an assembly error ('Unaligned address ...') because the second address would be only 1 *byte* after the first, and legitimate word addresses must be divisible by 4.

Appendix II: Useful links

ARMlite Programming Reference Manual

The full ARMlite Programming Reference Manual, and further technical documentation, may be found downloaded from here:

https://peterhigginson.co.uk/ARMlite/doc.php

ASCII table http://www.asciitable.com/

Online convertor between decimal, hex, binary https://www.rapidtables.com/convert/number

Online convertor for two's complement https://www.exploringbinary.com/twos-complement-converter/

Appendix III: Versioning

This book adopts 'semantic versioning' with the following meanings:

- A third-level version change (e.g. 1.0.n) indicates *minor* edits or corrections to text, layout, or formatting.
- A second level version change (e.g. 1.n.0) indicates correction to consequential error, in text or code.
- A first level version change (e.g. **n**.0.0) indicates new material and/or or re-structuring.

V1.0.0

Released 4th January 2020. Works with ARMlite version 1

Assembly language means programming 'close to the metal': constructing a program from very simple instructions that can be executed directly by the processor.

Today, most software is written in high level programming languages. However, learning assembly language will give you a deeper understanding not only of how a processor works, but of programming in general.

The book guides the reader through a series of small projects, applying new techniques to write programs that are useful and interesting. The final chapter demonstrates the use of the all the techniques learned to create a complete implementation of the wellknown 'Snake' game.

All examples in the book are designed to run on ARMlite, an online simulation of a simple computer based on a cut-down version of an ARM 32 processor.

Peter Higginson, who implemented ARMlite for this book, spent 40 years writing systems software in the computing and communications industry, and working in computer science education. In 1973 he connected the London Host to ARPANET - the first host outside the USA on what is now the Internet.



Richard Pawson worked in the computing industry for 40 years before teaching A-level Computer Science at Stowe School. He has a BSc in Engineering, a PhD in Computer Science, and a PGC in Intellectual Property Law. He now splits his time between managing a large open source product, writing books, and guest teaching in various schools.



